# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

DATATREASURY CORPORATION,	)
Plaintiff,	) Case No. 2-06CV-72
<b>v.</b>	)
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY, WELLS	) S)
FARGO BANK, NATIONAL	)
ASSOCIATION; BANK OF AMERICA	)
CORPORATION; BANK OF AMERICA	Ú
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; U.S.	)
BANCORP; U.S. BANK, NATIONAL	)
ASSOCIATION; WACHOVIA BANK,	)
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;	)
SUNTRUST BANKS, INC.; SUNTRUST	)
BANK; BB&T CORPORATION;	)
BRANCH BANKING AND TRUST	)
COMPANY; BANCORPSOUTH, INC.	)
BANCORPSOUTH BANK, COMPASS	)
BANCSHARES, INC.; COMPASS	)
BANK; CULLEN/FROST BANKERS,	)
INC.; THE FROST NATIONAL BANK;	)
FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL	)
CORPORATION; FIRST TENNESSEE	)
BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION;	)
HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS	)
INC.; HSBC BANK USA, N.A.; HARRIS	S )
BANKCORP, INC.; HARRIS N.A.;	)
NATIONAL CITY CORPORATION;	)
NATIONAL CITY BANK; ZIONS	)
BANCORPORATION; ZIONS FIRST	)
NATIONAL BANK; BANK OF NEW	)
YORK CO., INC.; THE BANK OF NEW	
YORK; UNIONBANCAL	)
CORPORATION; UNION BANK OF	)
CALIFORNIA, NATIONAL	)
ASSOCIATION; BANK OF TOKYO-	)
MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD.; CITIZENS	)
FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.; CITY	)
NATIONAL BANK; COMERICA	)
INCORPORATED; COMERICA BANK	

& TRUST, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ) **DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY ) AMERICAS; FIRST CITIZENS BANCSHARES, INC.; FIRST CITIZENS) BANK & TRUST COMPANY:** KEYCORP; KEY BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION: LASALLE BANK **CORPORATION; LASALLE BANK NA;) M&T BANK CORPORATION; M&T** BANK; THE PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.; PNC BANK, ) NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; UBS **AMERICAS, INC.; SMALL VALUE** PAYMENTS COMPANY, LLC; THE **CLEARING HOUSE PAYMENTS** COMPANY, LLC; MAGTEK, INC.; FIRST DATA CORPORATION: TELECHEK SERVICES, INC.; REMITCO, LLC and ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CORP. Defendants.

# FIRST AMENDED ANSWER OF THE BANK OF NEW YORK AND THE BANK OF NEW YORK COMPANY, INC.

Defendants The Bank of New York and The Bank of New York Company, Inc. (collectively "BNY") hereby file this First Amended Answer to answer the numbered paragraphs of Plaintiff DataTreasury Corporation's ("DataTreasury") First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement ("Complaint") and as their affirmative defenses and counterclaims state as follows:1

On January 19, 2007, BNY agreed to a Court-ordered Stay of all claims related to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,910,988 and 6,032,137 (collectively, the "Ballard patents"). Accordingly, BNY is not responding to any Ballard patent related allegations in DataTreasury's Complaint. For the same reason, BNY is not asserting any affirmative defenses and/or counterclaims related to the Ballard patents at this time. Should the Stay be lifted on the Ballard patent related claims, BNY reserves the right to supplement and/or amend its Answer, including the addition of affirmative defenses and/or counterclaims related to the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.

### I. THE PARTIES

- 1. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 1 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 2. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 2 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 3. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 3 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 4. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 4 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 5. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 5 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 6. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 6 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 7. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 7 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 8. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 8 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 9. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 9 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 10. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 10 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 11. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 11 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

- 12. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 12 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 13. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 13 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 14. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 14 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 15. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 15 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 16. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 16 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 17. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 17 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 18. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 18 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 19. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 19 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 20. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 20 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 21. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 21 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 22. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 22 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

- 23. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 23 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 24. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 24 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 25. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 25 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 26. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 26 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 27. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 27 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 28. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 28 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 29. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 29 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 30. BNY admits that The Bank of New York Company, Inc. is a New York corporation and has its principal place of business at One Wall Street, New York, New York 10286 and can be served through the Civil Litigation Unit of the Legal Division of The Bank of New York, One Wall Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10286. All other factual averments in this paragraph are denied.
- 31. BNY admits that The Bank of New York is a wholly-owned banking subsidiary of The Bank of New York Company, Inc., and that The Bank of New York does business in Texas. All other factual averments in this paragraph are denied.

- 32. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 32 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 33. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 33 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 34. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 34 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 35. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 35 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 36. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 36 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 37. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 37 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 38. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 38 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 39. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 39 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 40. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 40 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 41. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 41 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 42. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 42 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

Case 2:06-cv-00072-DF-CMC

- 43. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 43 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 44. truth of the averments in paragraph 44 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 45. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 45 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 46. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 46 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 47. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 47 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 48. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 48 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 49. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 49 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 50. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 50 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 51. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 51 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 52. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 52 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 53. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 53 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

- 54. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 54 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 55. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 55 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 56. truth of the averments in paragraph 56 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 57. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 57 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 58. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 58 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 59. Paragraph 59 is an allegation of subject matter jurisdiction for which no response is required. To the extent this paragraph contains factual averments, they are denied.
- 60. Paragraph 60 is an allegation of personal jurisdiction for which no response is required. BNY admits that The Bank of New York conducts business in Texas. The remaining averments of this paragraph are denied.
- 61. Paragraph 61 of the Complaint is an allegation of venue for which no response is required. To the extent paragraph 61 contains factual allegations, they are denied.
- 62. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 62 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 63. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 63 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- BNY admits that The Bank of New York is a member of the Clearing House 64. Payments Company, LLC. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief

as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 64 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

BNY denies all averments in paragraph 65 related to BNY, and is without 65. knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 65 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

#### III. PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 66. This Paragraph relates to U.S. Patent No. 5,910,988, one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.
- 67. This Paragraph relates to U.S. Patent No. 6,032,137, one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.
- 68. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 68 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same and further asserts that United States Patent No. 5,265,007 ("the '007 patent") is the best evidence of its contents.
- 69. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 69 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same and further asserts that United States Patent No. 5,583,759 ("the '759 patent") is the best evidence of its contents.

- 70. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 70 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same and further asserts that United States Patent No. 5,717,868 ("the '868 patent") is the best evidence of its contents.
- 71. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 71 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same and further asserts that United States Patent No. 5,930,778 ("the '778 patent") is the best evidence of its contents.
  - 72. BNY denies all averments in paragraph 72 of DataTreasury's Complaint.

#### IV. COUNT ONE – THE '988 DEFENDANTS

- 73. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.
- 74. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.
- 75. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then

supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.

- 76. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.
- 77. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.
- 78. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.
- 79. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.

80. This Paragraph relates to one of the Ballard patents. All proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY will not provide an answer to this Paragraph until the Court-ordered Stay is lifted. In the event the stay is lifted, BNY will then supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.

#### V. COUNT THREE – THE '007 DEFENDANTS

- 81. BNY denies all averments in paragraph 81 related to BNY and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 81 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 82. BNY denies all averments in paragraph 82 related to BNY and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 82 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 83. BNY denies all averments in paragraph 83 related to BNY and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 83 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

#### VI. COUNT FOUR – THE '759 DEFENDANTS

- 84. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 84 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 85. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 85 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 86. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 86 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

#### VII. COUNT FIVE - THE '868 DEFENDANTS

- 87. BNY denies all averments in paragraph 87 related to BNY and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 87 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 88. BNY denies all averments in paragraph 88 related to BNY and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 88 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 89. BNY denies all averments in paragraph 89 related to BNY and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments in paragraph 89 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

### **VIII. COUNT SIX – THE '778 DEFENDANTS**

- 90. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 90 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 91. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 91 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.
- 92. BNY is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in paragraph 92 of DataTreasury's Complaint and, therefore, denies same.

**WHEREFORE**, BNY denies that DataTreasury is entitled to any of the relief requested in its Prayer for Relief.

Page 14 of 19

BNY alleges and asserts the following affirmative defenses in response to the allegations of the Complaint:<sup>2</sup>

IX. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

93. BNY has not infringed any valid claim of the '007 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

## **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

94. Each claim of the '007 patent is invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and/or 112.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

95. Each claim of the '007 patent is unenforceable due to waiver, estoppel, and/or laches.

## FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

96. BNY has not infringed any valid claim of the '868 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

97. Each claim of the '868 patent is invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103, and/or 112.

## **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

98. Each claim of the '868 patent is unenforceable due to waiver, estoppel, and/or laches.

As noted above, all proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY does not include any affirmative defenses related to the Ballard patents. Should the Stay be lifted, BNY will supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

- 99. At least some of the allegedly infringing activities of BNY that DataTreasury complains of in the Complaint were "for the Government and with the authorization or consent of the Government" for the purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1498(a).
- 100. At least part of DataTreasury's remedy for BNY's allegedly infringing use complained of in the Complaint "shall be by action against the United States in the United States Court of Federal Claims for the recovery of [its] reasonable and entire compensation for such use" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1498(a).

#### X. COUNTER-CLAIMS

The Bank of New York and The Bank of New York Company, Inc. (collectively "BNY"), for their counterclaims against DataTreasury Corporation ("DataTreasury"), aver and state the following:<sup>3</sup>

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 101. This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 102. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c).
- 103. BNY brings this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, and seeks declaratory relief under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 104. On February 24, 2006, DataTreasury filed this lawsuit, naming BNY as Defendants.
- 105. DataTreasury's filing of this suit proves that there is a substantial, actual, and continuing controversy between BNY and DataTreasury with respect to U.S. Patent Nos.

As noted above, all proceedings related to the Ballard patents have been stayed. Accordingly, BNY does of include any counterclaims related to the Ballard patents. Should the Stay be lifted, BNY will supplement or

not include any counterclaims related to the Ballard patents. Should the Stay be lifted, BNY will supplement or amend its Answer to address the Ballard patents at the time specified by the Court or otherwise agreed to by the parties.

5,910,988 ("the '988 patent"), 6,032,137 ("the '137 patent"), 5,265,007 ("the '007 patent"), and 5,717,868 ("the '868 patent").

## **THE PARTIES**

- 106. The Bank of New York Company, Inc. is a New York Corporation with its principal place of business at One Wall Street, New York, New York 10286. The Bank of New York is a wholly-owned banking subsidiary of The Bank of New York Company, Inc.
- 107. On information and belief, DataTreasury is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 101 East Park Blvd., #600, Plano, Texas, 75074.
- 108. On information and belief, DataTreasury purports to be the assignee of the '007 patent.
- 109. On information and belief, DataTreasury purports to be the assignee of the '868 patent.

## **COUNTERCLAIM ONE: INVALIDITY OF THE '007 PATENT**

- 110. BNY incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 109, above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 111. The '007 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions for patentability specified in 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.

### **COUNTERCLAIM TWO: NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '007 PATENT**

- 112. BNY incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 111, above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 113. BNY does not infringe any valid claim of the '007 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

## **COUNTERCLAIM THREE: INVALIDITY OF THE '868 PATENT**

- 114. BNY incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 113, above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 115. The '868 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions for patentability specified in 35 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.

## **COUNTERCLAIM FOUR: NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '868 PATENT**

- BNY incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 115, above, as though fully 116. set forth herein.
- 117. BNY does not infringe any valid claim of the '868 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

**WHEREFORE**, Counterclaim Plaintiff BNY prays for a judgment against Counterclaim Defendant DataTreasury as follows:

- (a) For a declaration that the '007 patent is invalid;
- (b) For a declaration that BNY has not and does not directly or indirectly infringe the '007 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;
  - (c) For a declaration that the '868 patent is invalid;
- (d) For a declaration that BNY has not and does not directly or indirectly infringed the '868 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;
- (e) For a ruling that DataTreasury shall be required to pay BNY's damages incurred as a result of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and costs consistent with 35 U.S.C. § 285, because DataTreasury's actions make this an exceptional case; and
  - (f) For such other relief as this Court deems just.

## **JURY DEMAND**

BNY demands a trial by jury on all issues presented in this Answer and Counterclaims.

Dated: April 9, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott J. Pivnick

William P. Atkins (admitted pro hac vice) Scott J. Pivnick (admitted pro hac vice)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

1650 Tysons Blvd.

McLean, VA 22102-4859

T: (703) 770-7900 F: (703) 905-2500

william.atkins@pillsburylaw.com scott.pivnick@pillsburylaw.com

Jennifer Parker Ainsworth Texas Bar No. 00784720

WILSON, SHEEHY, KNOWLES, ROBERTSON &

CORNELIUS, P.C. 909 ESE Loop 323

Suite 400

Tyler, Texas 75701

T: (903) 509-5000

F: (903) 509-5092

jainsworth@wilsonlawfirm.com

Richard Hogan

Texas Bar No. 09802010

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

2 Houston Center

909 Fannin Street 22nd Floor

Houston TX 77010

T: (713) 425-7327

F: (713) 425-7373

richard.hogan@pillsburylaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants,

The Bank of New York and The Bank of New York

Company, Inc.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 9, 2007 a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3).

/s Gerardy Carrenard
Gerardy Carrenard

Page 19 of 19