DATATREASURY CORPORTION Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant § No. 2:06cv72 (DF) VS. § Jury Trial Demanded WELLS FARGO & COMPANY; et al Defendants/Counter-Plaintiff §

DATATREASURY'S ANSWER TO FIRST-CITIZENS BANK & TRUST COMPANY'S FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant DataTreasury Corporation hereby files its Answer to First-Citizens Bank & Trust Company ("First Citizens") First Amended Counterclaim and in support thereof, states as follows:

- 1. In paragraph 1 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, First Citizens has incorporated Paragraphs 94 through 102 which are affirmative defenses by Defendant First Citizens, for which Defendant has the burden of proof and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 94 through 102 as denied.
- 2. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 2 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.
- 3. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 3 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.

- 4. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant agrees that an actual controversy exists between DataTreasury and First Citizens because DataTreasury has sued First Citizens as alleged in paragraph 4 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.
- 5. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 5 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.
- 6. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 6 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.
- 7. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 7 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.
- 8. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 8 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.
- 9. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 9 of First Citizens First Amended Counterclaim.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant prays for judgment WHEREFORE, against Defendants/Counter-Plaintiffs as follows:

- A. For judgment dismissing the counterclaims with prejudice;
- B. For a declaration that this is an exceptional case, and an award to Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant of its costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein;
- C. An award of the costs of this action; and

Respectfully submitted,

/S/

EDWARD L. V ON HOHN, Attorney in Charge

State Bar No. 09813240

ROD COOPER

State Bar No. 90001628

EDWARD CHIN

STATE BAR No. 50511688

NIX PATTERSON & ROACH LLP

5215 N. O'Connor Blvd. Ste. 1900

Irving, Texas 75039

972.831.1188 (telephone)

972.692.5445 (facsimile)

rcooper@cooperiplaw.com

edchin@nixlawfirm.com

edhohn@nixlawfirm.com

C. CARY PATTERSON

State Bar No. 15587000

BRADY PADDOCK

State Bar No. 00791394

ANTHONY BRUSTER

State Bar No. 24036280

R. BENJAMIN KING

State Bar No. 24048592

NIX PATTERSON & ROACH L.L.P.

2900 St. Michael Drive, Suite 500

Texarkana, Texas 75503

903.223.3999 (telephone)

903.223.8520 (facsimile)

akbruster@nixlawfirm.com

bpaddock@nixlawfirm.com

benking@nixlawfirm.com

JOE KENDALL

State Bar No. 11260700

KARL RUPP

State Bar No. 24035243

PROVOST * UMPHREY, L.L.P.

3232 McKinney Avenue, Ste. 700

Dallas, Texas 75204

214.744.3000 (telephone)

214.744.3015 (facsimile)

jkendall@provostumphrey.com

krupp@provostumphrey.com

ERIC M. ALBRITTON State Bar; No. 00790215 **ALBRITTON LAW FIRM** P.O. Box 2649 Longview, Texas 75606 903.757.8449 (telephone) 903.758.7397 (facsimile) ema@emafirm.com

T. JOHN WARD, JR. State Bar No. 00794818 LAW OFFICE OF T. JOHN WARD, JR. P.O. Box 1231 Longview, Texas 75601 903.757.6400 (telephone) 903.757.2323 (facsimile) jw@jwfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-**DEFENDANT, DATATREASURY CORPORATION**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on all counsel of record, via CM/ECF, on the 17th day of April, 2007.

/\$/	
Edward Lewis von Hohn	