Page 1 of 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

DATATREASURY CORPORTION	§	
Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant	§	
	§	
vs.	§	No. 2:06cv72 (DF)
	§	Jury Trial Demanded
WELLS FARGO & COMPANY;	§	
WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL	§	
ASSOCIATION	§	
Defendants/Counter-Plaintif	f §	

DATATREASURY'S ANSWER TO UNION BANK OF CALIFORNIA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION'S FIRST AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant DataTreasury Corporation hereby files its Answer to Union Bank of California, N.A.'s ("UBoC") First Amended Counterclaims to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement and in support thereof, states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraphs 101 through 105 of UBoC's First Amended Counterclaim.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant is without sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 106 of UBoC's First Amended Counterclaim.
- 3. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant admits the allegations in paragraphs 107 through 109 of UBoC's First Amended Counterclaim.

COUNTERCLAIM ONE: INVALIDITY OF THE '007 PATENT

- 4. In paragraph 110 of Defendant's First Amended Counterclaim, UBoC has incorporated Paragraphs 93 through 100 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant UBoC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 93 through 100 as denied.
- 5. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 111 of UBoC's First Amended Counterclaim.

COUNTERCLAIM TWO: NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '007 PATENT

- In paragraph 112 of Defendant's First Amended Counterclaim, UBoC has 6 incorporated Paragraphs 93 through 100 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant UBoC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 93 through 100 as denied.
- 7. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 113 of UBoC's First Amended Counterclaim.

COUNTERCLAIM THREE: INVALIDITY OF THE '868 PATENT

- 8. In paragraph 114 of Defendant's First Amended Counterclaim, UBoC has incorporated Paragraphs 93 through 100 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant UBoC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 93 through 100 as denied.
- 9. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 115 of UBoC's First Amended Counterclaim.

COUNTERCLAIM FOUR: NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '868 PATENT

- 10. In paragraph 116 of Defendants' First Amended Counterclaim, UBoC has incorporated Paragraphs 93 through 100 of Defendant's First Amended Answer which are affirmative defenses by Defendant UBoC for which Defendant has the burden of proof, and no response is required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant. However, in the event any response would be required by Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant, DataTreasury Corporation responds to each of the affirmative defenses contained in paragraphs 93 through 100 as denied.
- 11. Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 117 of UBoC's First Amended Counterclaim.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant prays for judgment against Defendants/Counter-Plaintiffs as follows:

A. For judgment dismissing the counterclaims with prejudice;

- C. An award of the costs of this action; and
- D. That Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper, including all relief requested in Plaintiff's Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

EDWARD L. V ON HOHN, Attorney in Charge

State Bar No. 09813240

ROD COOPER

State Bar No. 90001628

EDWARD CHIN

STATE BAR No. 50511688

NIX PATTERSON & ROACH LLP

5215 N. O'Connor Blvd. Ste. 1900

Irving, Texas 75039

972.831.1188 (telephone)

972.692.5445 (facsimile)

edhohn@nixlawfirm.com

rodcooper@nixlawfrm.com

edchin@nixlawfirm.com

C. CARY PATTERSON

State Bar No. 15587000

BRADY PADDOCK

State Bar No. 00791394

ANTHONY BRUSTER

State Bar No. 24036280

R. BENJAMIN KING

State Bar No. 24048592

NIX PATTERSON & ROACH L.L.P.

2900 St. Michael Drive, Suite 500

Texarkana, Texas 75503

903.223.3999 (telephone)

903.223.8520 (facsimile)

akbruster@nixlawfirm.com

bpaddock@nixlawfirm.com

benking@nixlawfirm.com

JOE KENDALL State Bar No. 11260700 KARL RUPP State Bar No. 24035243 PROVOST * UMPHREY, L.L.P. 3232 McKinney Avenue, Ste. 700 Dallas, Texas 75204 214.744.3000 (telephone) 214.744.3015 (facsimile) jkendall@provostumphrey.com krupp@provostumphrey.com

ERIC M. ALBRITTON State Bar; No. 00790215 **ALBRITTON LAW FIRM** P.O. Box 2649 Longview, Texas 75606 903.757.8449 (telephone) 903.758.7397 (facsimile) ema@emafirm.com

T. JOHN WARD, JR. State Bar No. 00794818 LAW OFFICE OF T. JOHN WARD, JR. P.O. Box 1231 Longview, Texas 75601 903.757.6400 (telephone) 903.757.2323 (facsimile) jw@jwfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-**DEFENDANT, DATATREASURY CORPORATION**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on all counsel of record, via CM/ECF, on the 17th day of April, 2007.

/S/	
Edward Lewis von Hohn	