Page 1 of 2

## **EXHIBIT E**



SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201 214 981 3300 214 981 3400 FAX

ctener@sidley.com (213) 896-6621 BEIJING GENEVA
BRUSSELS HONG KONG
CHICAGO LONDON
DALLAS LOS ANGELES

**NEW YORK** 

SAN FRANCISCO SHANGHAI SINGAPORE TOKYO WASHINGTON, DC

FOUNDED 1866

May 1, 2007

VIA E-Mail Rod Cooper, Esq. Nix, Patterson & Roach, LLP 5215 N. O'Connor Blvd., Ste. 1900 Irving, Texas 75039

Re:

Data Treasury Corporation v. Wells Fargo & Co., et al.

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall

Division Civil Action No. 2:06CV72(DF)

Dear Rod:

This letter is in response to your April 27, 2007 letter to Peter Ayers and his original letter of April 23 regarding the number of DataTreasury asserted claims. All non-McKool-represented Defendants that are accused of infringing the '007 and '868 patents are taking the same position as articulated by Peter Ayers. Thus, for purposes of this issue, this letter reflects the joint position of these Defendants.

Although your April 27<sup>th</sup> letter states that DataTreasury will seek to lift the stay if the original filed claims are allowed via the reexamination of the Ballard patents, it does not address Mr. Ayers' main point that DataTreasury has not reserved any of the 18 claims allotted by the Court to assert if such fact pattern develops.

Accordingly, if DataTreasury does not now reduce its list of 18 asserted claims from the '007 and '868 patents, all Defendants that are accused of infringing these 2 patents believe that DataTreasury will have forfeited its right to pursue the Ballard patents in this case, and we will therefore move to dismiss the Ballard patents from the case.

Please let us know DataTreasury's intentions in this regard.

Sincerely

Carissa A Tener

cc: All Counsel of Record (via e-mail)