

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

ANTOR MEDIA CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

V.

METACAFE, INC., et al,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

C. A. NO.: 2:07 CV 102 (DF)

**DEFENDANT GOOGLE, INC.'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO
EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER, MOVE OR OTHERWISE
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF ANTOR MEDIA CORPORATION'S FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

1. Defendant Google, Inc. hereby moves the Court to extend the time within which Defendant is required to move, answer, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff Antor Media Corporation's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement up to and including August 6, 2007.

2. Good cause exists for the granting of this motion and the motion is made for the reason and on the grounds that the additional time is necessary in order to allow counsel to adequately confer with their client and respond appropriately to Antor Media Corporation's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement.

3. This extension is not sought for the purposes of delay and specifically should not be the basis to delay any scheduling conference in this cause.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Google, Inc. respectfully prays that the time to answer or otherwise move or respond to Antor Media Corporation's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement be enlarged until August 6, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,

GILLAM & SMITH, LLP

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith
LEAD ATTORNEY
State Bar No. 24001351
Harry L. Gillam, Jr.
State Bar No. 07921800
GILLAM & SMITH, L.L.P.
303 South Washington Avenue
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: (903) 934-8450
Facsimile: (903) 934-9257

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,
GOOGLE, INC.**

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned hereby certifies that counsel for Defendant, Google, Inc. and counsel for Plaintiff, Antor Media Corporation conferred on May 23, 2007, and Plaintiff does not oppose this motion.

/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this motion was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by certified mail, return receipt requested, on this the 23rd day of May, 2007.

/s/ Melissa R. Smith
Melissa R. Smith