

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

MINERVA INDUSTRIES, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No.: 2:07-cv-00229 - TJW

- 1. MOTOROLA, INC.;
- 2. NOKIA, INC.;
- 3. NOKIA MOBILE PHONES, INC.;
- 4. ALLTEL CORPORATION;
- 5. ALLTEL COMMUNICATIONS WIRELESS, INC.;
- 6. ALLTEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;
- 7. ALLTEL COMMUNICATIONS OF TEXARKANA, INC.;
- 8. ALLTEL WIRELESS OF TEXARKANA, LLC.;
- 9. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC;
- 10. BOOST MOBILE;
- 11. DOBSON CELLULAR SYSTEMS D/B/A/ CELLULAR ONE;
- 12. HELIO, INC.;
- 13. HELIO, LLC;
- 14. HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY.;
- 15. METROPCS, INC.;
- 16. METROPCS TEXAS, LLC;
- 17. METROPCS WIRELESS, INC.;
- 18. QWEST WIRELESS, LLC;
- 19. SOUTHERNLINC WIRELESS;
- 20. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION;
- 21. SPRINT WIRELESS BROADBAND COMPANY, LLC;
- 22. SUNCOM WIRELESS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC;
- 23. T-MOBILE USA;
- 24. TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC.;
- 25. U.S. CELLULAR WIRELESS;
- 26. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS

- INC.; :
- 27. VERIZON WIRELESS :
- SERVICES, LLC; :
- 28. VIRGIN MOBIL USA, LLC; :
- 29. HTC CORPORATION; :
- 30. HTC AMERICA, INC.; :
- 31. KYOCERA WIRELESS :
- CORPORATION; :
- 32. KYOCERA AMERICA, INC.; :
- 33. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.; :
- 34. LG ELECTRONICS :
- MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.; :
- 35. PALM, INC. :
- 36. PANTECH WIRELESS, INC. :
- 37. SANYO NORTH AMERICA :
- CORPORATION; AND :
- 38. UTSTARCOM, INC.; :
- 39. SONY ERICSSON :
- COMMUNICATIONS (USA), INC.; :
- 40. SAMSUNG AMERICA, INC.; :
- 41. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS :
- AMERICA, INC.; :
- 42. SAMSUNG :
- TELECOMMUNICATIONS :
- AMERICA, LLC; and :
- 43. SAMSUNG :
- TELECOMMUNICATIONS :
- AMERICA, INC.; :
- Defendants. :

**ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME FOR SUNCOM WIRELESS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

Defendant SUNCOM WIRELESS OPERATING COMPANY, LLC moves the Court to extend the time within which Defendant is required to move, answer, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff Minerva Industries, Inc.’s Complaint up to and including January 7, 2008.

Having considered the pleading, the Court is of the opinion that the motion should be GRANTED. Thus, it is therefore,

ORDERED that Defendant is required to move, answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint up to and including January 7, 2008.

SIGNED this 17th day of October, 2007.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "T. John Ward". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping "T" and "W".

T. JOHN WARD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE