#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

#### **MINERVA INDUSTRIES, INC.,**

Plaintiff,

VS.

# CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:07-cv-229 [TJW]

**JURY DEMANDED** 

Unopposed

1. MOTOROLA, INC.; 2. NOKIA, INC.; 3. NOKIA MOBILE PHONES, INC.; 4. ALLTELL CORPORATION;

- 5. ALLTELL COMMUNICATIONS WIRELESS, INC.;
- 6. ALLTELL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;
- 7. ALLTELL COMMUNICATIONS OF **TEXARKANA, INC.:**
- 8. ALLTELL WIRELESS OF **TEXARKANA, LLC:**
- 9. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC;
- **10. BOOST MOBILE;**
- 11. DOBSON CELLULAR SYSTEMS d/b/a **CELLULAR ONE:**
- 12. HELIO, INC.;
- 13. HELIO, LLC;
- 14. HEWLETT-PACKWARD COMPANY;
- **15. METROPCS, INC.;**
- 16. METROPCS TEXAS, LLC;
- **17. METROPCS WIRELESS, INC.;**
- **18. QWEST WIRELESS, LLC;**
- **19. SOUTHERNLINC WIRELESS;**
- **20. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION:**
- 21. SPRINT WIRELESS BROADBAND COMPANY, LLC;
- 22. SUNCOM WIRELESS OPERATING **COMPANY, LLC**
- 23. T-MOBILE USA;
- 24. TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC.;
- 25. U.S. CELLULAR WIRELESS;
- **26. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;**
- **27. VERIZON WIRELESS SERVICES,**
- LLC: 28. VIRGIN MOBIL USA, LLC;
- **29. HTC CORPORATION;**
- **30. HTC AMERICA, INC.**

<b>31. KYOCERA WIRELESS</b>
CORPORATION;
32. KYOCERA AMERICA, INC.;
<b>33. LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC.;</b>
34. LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM
U.S.A., INC.;
35. PALM, INC.;
<b>36. PANTECH WIRELESS, INC.;</b>
<b>37. SANYO NORTH AMERICA</b>
CORPORATION; AND
38. UTSTARCOM, INC.;
<b>39. SONY ERICSSON</b>
<b>COMMUNICATIONS (USA), INC.;</b>
40. SAMSUNG AMERICA, INC.;
41. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.;
42. SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AMERICA, LLC; and
43. SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
AMERICA, INC.,
Defendants.

DEFENDANTS VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND VERIZON WIRELESS SERVICES, LLC'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER

# TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE:

Comes now, Defendants VERIZON COMMUNCIATIONS, INC. and VERIZON

WIRELESS SERVICES, LLC (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Verizon" or

"Defendants"), to file this their Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Answer.

The current deadline for Defendants to answer is October 15, 2007. Defendants respectfully request an extension of time to and including November 14, 2007 to file their Answers.

This extension is not sought for delay. Counsel for Defendants has conferred with counsel

for Plaintiff and represents to the Court that Plaintiff does not oppose this Motion. The granting

of this Motion will not affect any other case deadline.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Verizon respectfully requests that its Motion be granted.

Dated: October 3, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

### **POTTER MINTON A Professional Corporation**

By: /s/ John F. Bufe

MICHAEL E. JONES Texas State Bar No. 10929400 JOHN F. BUFE Texas State Bar No. 03316930 110 N. College Ave. 500 Plaza Tower (75702) P.O. Box 359 Tyler, Texas 75710 Telephone: (903) 597-8311 Facsimile: (903) 593-0846 Email: johnbufe@potterminton.com

#### **Of Counsel:**

Jeffrey E. Ostrow SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP 2550 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 251-5030 Facsimile: (650) 251-5002 Email: jostrow@stblaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC. and VERIZON WIRELESS SERVICES, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

Counsel for Defendants has conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and hereby represents to this Court that Plaintiff does not oppose this Motion.

<u>/s/ John F. Bufe</u> John F. Bufe

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on this the 3rd day of October, 2007. Any other counsel of record will be served by first class mail.

/s/ John F. Bufe

John F. Bufe