IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

MINERVA INDUSTRIES, INC.,	§	
Dlaintiff	§ 8	Civil Action No. 2:07 av 220 [TIW]
Plaintiff,	§ §	Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-229 [TJW]
VS.	§	Jury Demanded
	§	,
1. MOTOROLA, INC.;	§	<u>Unopposed</u>
2. NOKIA, INC.;	§	
3. NOKIA MOBILE PHONES, INC.;	§	
4. ALLTELL CORPORATION;	§	
5. ALLTELL COMMUNICATIONS	§	
WIRELESS, INC.;	§	
6. ALLTELL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;	§	
7. ALLTELL COMMUNICATIONS OF	§	
TEXARKANA, INC.;	§	
8. ALLTELL WIRELESS OF	§	
TEXARKANA, LLC;	§	
9. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC;	§	
10. BOOST MOBILE;	§	
11. DOBSON CELLULAR SYSTEMS d/b/a		
CELLULAR ONE;	§ §	
12. HELIO, INC.;	§	
13. HELIO, LLC;	§	
14. HEWLETT-PACKWARD COMPANY;	§	
15. METROPCS, INC.;	§	
16. METROPCS TEXAS, LLC;	§	
17. METROPCS WIRELESS, INC.;	§	
18. QWEST WIRELESS, LLC;	§	
19. SOUTHERNLINC WIRELESS;	§	
20. SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION;	§	
21. SPRINT WIRELESS BROADBAND	§	
COMPANY, LLC;	§	
22. SUNCOM WIRELESS OPERATING	§	
COMPANY, LLC;	§	
23. T-MOBILE USA;	§	
24. TRACFONE WIRELESS, INC.;	§	
25. U.S. CELLULAR WIRELESS;	§	
26. VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC.;	§	
27. VERIZON WIRELESS SERVICES, LLC;	§	
28. VIRGIN MOBIL USA, LLC;	§	
29. HTC CORPORATION;	§	
30. HTC AMERICA, INC.;	§	

31. KYOCERA WIRELESS	2
	§
CORPORATION;	§
32. KYOCERA AMERICA, INC.;	§
33. LG ELECTRONICS USA, INC.;	§
34. LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM	§
U.S.A., INC.;	§
35. PALM, INC.;	§
36. PANTECH WIRELESS, INC.;	§
37. SANYO NORTH AMERICA	§
CORPORATION;	
38. UTSTARCOM, INC.;	§ §
39. SONY ERICSSON COMMUNICATIONS	
(USA), INC.;	§
40. SAMSUNG AMERICA, INC.;	§
41. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,	§
INC.;	§
42. SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS	§
AMERICA, LLC; and	§
43. SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS	§
AMERICA, INC.,	§
	§ §
Defendants.	§
•	9

DEFENDANT SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT:

Defendant SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION files this Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Answer or Otherwise Respond.

The current deadline for Defendants to answer is October 4, 2007. Defendant respectfully requests an extension of time to and including December 3, 2007 to move, answer, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

This extension is not sought for delay. Counsel for Defendant has conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and represents to the Court that Plaintiff does not oppose this Motion.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant respectfully requests that

its Motion be granted.

DATED: October 4, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ John M. Cone_____

John M. Cone Texas State Bar No. 04660100 HITCHCOCK EVERT LLP 750 North St. Paul Street Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: (214) 880-7002 Facsimile: (214) 953-1121

Email: jcone@hitchcockevert.com

OF COUNSEL:

Michael A. Dorfman KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN L.L.P. 525 West Monroe Street Chicago, Illinois 60661-3693 Telephone: (312) 902-5658

Facsimile: (312) 577-4738

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT SANYO NORTH AMERICA CORP.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Counsel for Defendant has conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and hereby represents to this Court that Plaintiff does not oppose this Motion.

/s/ John M. Cone John M. Cone

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on this the 4th day of October, 2007. Any other counsel of record will be served by first class mail.

/s/ John M. Cone John M. Cone