

EXHIBIT 4

From: Justin A. Nelson
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2009 9:20 AM
To: Billie D. Salinas; Stacy Schulze; Jeremy Brandon; Max L. Tribble; Joseph S. Grinstein
Cc: Google-Function Media; 'Google-FM-Exchange@fr.com'; 'cmaloney@ickl.com'; 'ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com'; 'jrambin@capshawlaw.com'; 'nancy@ickl.com'; 'charley@pbatyler.com'; 'ederieux@capshawlaw.com'; 'gil@gillamsmithlaw.com'; 'otiscarroll@ickl.com'; 'rcbunt@pbatyler.com'; 'katherine@ickl.com'
Subject: FM: Summary of yesterday's evening call

Billie/Amy --

On yesterday evening's call, we discussed the following:

1. Interrogatory 11 -- I told you that we wanted to be able to track the value of a pre-IPO share, including any splits, dividends, etc. You told me that you would try to obtain this information, and that no motions practice was necessary. You told me this information might take a bit to produce. We tentatively agreed that you would have this information by Friday, August 28.
2. Acquisitions price list/valuation reports -- I told you that we needed either the price list (including whether the transaction was in stock) or the valuation reports for the list of transactions, and that this fell squarely within the Georgia-Pacific factors. I also stated that we have been asking for the valuation reports for the beginning, that we were willing to accept a price list to ease Google's alleged burden, but that it now seemed easier to simply produce the valuation reports given that Google would have to recreate a price list. I said that I intended to file a motion tomorrow on this given the prior meets and confers. You stated that you were still trying to obtain client approval for this, that you did not think that the documents were relevant under Georgia Pacific, but understood how we and a court could think they were relevant. I agreed to refrain from filing a Motion tomorrow on this while you checked again with the client on producing this in exchange for your agreement on an expedited schedule to hear this at Markman. I suggested having simultaneous briefs of a paragraph or page long or so due in a joint filing to tee this up for hearing on Markman day. You stated that 1 page was too short. I suggested 5 pages. You suggested that the Opening Brief be due on Friday and the Responsive Brief due on Monday. I agreed to that schedule.
3. Depositions -- We offered September 21 for Hasan and either Sep 28 or 29 for Burke. You are checking on other dates, and stated that you will give them to us on a rolling basis. I emphasized the urgency of getting us dates and nailing down a schedule.

From: Billie D. Salinas [mailto:billiesalinas@quinnemanuel.com]
Sent: Mon 8/17/2009 11:36 PM
To: Stacy Schulze; Justin A. Nelson; Jeremy Brandon; Max L. Tribble; Joseph S. Grinstein
Cc: Google-Function Media; 'Google-FM-Exchange@fr.com'; 'cmaloney@ickl.com'; 'ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com'; 'jrambin@capshawlaw.com'; 'nancy@ickl.com'; 'charley@pbatyler.com'; 'ederieux@capshawlaw.com'; 'gil@gillamsmithlaw.com'; 'otiscarroll@ickl.com'; 'rcbunt@pbatyler.com'; 'katherine@ickl.com'
Subject: Mr. Zoufonoun's deposition

Justin,

Amin Zoufonoun is available to be deposed on September 1 at Quinn's office in San Francisco. Please let me know if that date is acceptable to you.

Thanks,

8/19/2009

Billie