

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
 MARSHALL DIVISION

BRIGHT RESPONSE, LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-371-ce
	§	
GOOGLE, INC., et al.,	§	
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
	§	

**ORDER**

On this day the Court considered Bright Response, LLC’s (“Bright Response”) Emergency Motion To Allow Bright Response Counsel Mr. David Pridham Access To Expert Reports On Damages And All Documents Relied Upon In Those Reports To Support A Reasonable Royalty Analysis, Including Access To Support A Reasonable Royalty Analysis, Including Access To Pretrial Preparation Materials And Demonstrative Exhibits In Support Of Preparing Bright Response’s Damages Case (“Motion”).

The Court finds that adequate need is shown to support this limited modification. The Court will allow Mr. Pridham access to expert reports concerning damages and their underlying documents that may be marked Confidential or Attorneys Eyes Only to which Mr. Pridham is otherwise not allowed access pursuant to the Court’s June 2, 2010 Order. The Court therefore finds that the Motion should be GRANTED.

The Court ORDERS: Plaintiff’s counsel Mr. David Pridham shall be allowed, immediately upon the issuance of this Order, access to all expert reports concerning damages, and any documents relied upon by the experts in those reports concerning the reasonable royalty analysis, which shall be understand to include any report or document in support marked by Defendants as Confidential or Attorneys Eyes Only, which relief also expressly allows Mr.

Pridham access to pretrial preparation materials and demonstrative exhibits that may or will also include such information.