EXHIBIT F

Confidential - Attorney's Eyes Only

Page 1

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

MARSHALL DIVISION

BRIGHT RESPONSE, LLC

F/K/A POLARIS IP, LLC,

Plaintiff,

-vs- 2:07-CV-371-TJW-CE

GOOGLE, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

Videotaped Deposition of ANTHONY ANGOTTI,
held at the offices of ACTION REPORTING
SERVICE, LLC, Syracuse, New York, on
11/13/2009, before PAMELA PALOMEQUE,
Registered Professional Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State of New York.

Job No. 225814

Veritext Corporate Services

800-567-8658

973-410-4040

Page 50 Page 52 Anthony Angotti 52 Anthony Angotti 2 Q. Now, I'd like to turn to the EZ Reader product that, if I'm recollecting correctly. 3 or project. 3 Q. So is it your recollection they were the same 4 A. Okay. 4 company but Brightware was a later name? 5 Q. Earlier you said you worked on it from 5 A. That's the way I recall it. 6 beginning in 1993. 6 Q. And so is this letter -- well, first of all, 7 A. The project of which that was a part began in 7 were you involved in the decision to get Brightware 8 1993. 8 involved in the work you were doing for Chase? 9 Q. Okay. So what I'd like to do is kind of get a 9 A. Yes, I was. timeline of that project and your role in it, so if you 10 10 Q. Okay. And were you the person responsible for 11 could start with 1993, and you can describe what you were 11 getting Brightware involved? 12 doing at that time as it related to EZ Reader. That's A. By "responsible," I had management 13 where we can start our timeline. 13 responsibility, yes. It was a team effort to determine 14 A. In that time frame, one of the areas of focus 14 which vendor we would use. It wasn't -- the decision to 15 for the project was knowledge-based applications and to 15 use Inference/Brightware was a team-based decision. I 16 explore how those might be of business value, and so as 16 had management responsibility for executing, approving a 17 it relates to EZ Reader, the 1993 time frame was involved 17 document like this, budget responsibility. 18 with determining how we would go about developing an 18 Q. Okay. So you didn't personally choose 19 application such as -- in 1993 we didn't know that one of 19 Brightware by yourself? 20 them would be EZ Reader. I mean -- but the time frame, 20 MR. BUSTAMANTE: Objection, form. it was 1993 was, you know, doing a survey of the 21 Q. You approved what others may have done? 22 landscape of what were the available technologies and, 22 A. I was part of the evaluation team and I had --23 you know, doing analyses and figuring out, you know, 23 you know, part of my evaluation was from a business how -- what was the best way to approach this and that 24 standpoint to -- to determine -- you know, whichever resulted in Brightware being chosen to work with us, so 25 technology we chose, did this quote, unquote make sense Page 51 Page 53 Anthony Angotti 51 Anthony Angotti 2 that's the early time frame. for Chase. So usability training programs that they had, ease of use by people. So I was involved in a lot of 3 Q. Since you mentioned Brightware, I'm going to 3 hand you what was already marked as an exhibit. It was 4 those aspects, yes. 5 Piccolo 9 at Ms. Piccolo's deposition. 5 Q. So going back to EZ Reader generally, can you 6 A. Okay. 6 explain what the idea behind the program was? 7 (Document handed.) 7 A. Are we speaking specifically about EZ Reader Do you recognize this document? 8 Q. 8 or -- when you say programming, should I apply that 9 9 broadly, of which EZ Reader was a part, or specifically A. 10 Q. The Anthony Angotti in the signature block, 10 EZ Reader? 11 that's you? 11 Q. That's fair. Let's say specifically about 12 MR. BUSTAMANTE: Objection, form. 12 EZ Reader. 13 Q. Do you recall receiving this document? 13 Would you ask the question again? A. 14 A. That is my name, yes. Can you explain what the idea behind EZ Reader 14 Q. Q. Do you recall receiving this letter? 15 15 was? I recognize the letter. 16 A. 16 The business idea behind EZ Reader was to find 17 And in the first sentence it says: Inference 17 a solution that would make it faster and less costly to is pleased to offer to Chase Manhattan a proposal for our handle the needs of a business unit and, you know, in the 18 IBM main frame for ART-IM software? 19 bank, and so EZ Reader was an idea of a way to help 19 20 A. Hmm, mm. 20 address, you know, part of that business problem of how 21 Do you know who or what Inference is? 21 to handle incoming, you know, messages from customers. 22 Thinking back on it, trying to recall it, 22 Q. Okay. And you mentioned the business problem Inference was the name of the company that we originally 23 23 of handling incoming messages from customers. Can you started -- that had the software, the ART-IM software, 24 describe what problem EZ Reader was trying to solve? and as I recall, the name Brightware was subsequent to 25 A. Yes. You know, keeping in mind the time frame

(Pages 50 to 53)

Page 54 Page 56 Anthony Angotti Anthony Angotti 56 2 and what we take as commonplace today just really didn't that comparison and if a comparison wasn't found, then it 3 exist in 1994, '95. So, you know, you know, there was a 3 wasn't able to be addressed automatically. projection that on line banking with customers was, you Q. And the electronic messages that EZ Reader know, going to involve, you know, electronic messages 5 analyzed in this manner, were those e-mail messages? A. Yes, they were.Q. And I guess the known cases that you were 6 from customers of which e-mail would be a part, and, you 6 7 know, trying to put myself in that time frame of what --7 with what we were, you know, thinking but, you know, any 8 8 describing, were those also e-mails? kind of message that would come in, you know, 9 9 A. I don't believe that they were exclusively 10 10 electronically, I would -- if we were -- you know, in e-mails. It was a knowledge base, so it was the best 11 using what was known then for service, which is a person thinking that we could find. So I would imagine that it 12 on the telephone, and projecting volumes for on line if 12 would have involved talking to people that answered the 13 it's that bad. In order to have a viable business, you 13 phones. couldn't very well handle somebody on, you know, 14 14 Q. Did the EZ Reader analyze any other customer electronically, by passing it to a person on the phone to 15 15 communications other than e-mails. 16 read and then type in a response. So that was the 16 A. Not that I recall. Now --17 business problem, was, you know, how do we be on line 17 Q. Sorry. 18 with our customers. 18 No, not that I recall. 19 Q. So the business problem that EZ Reader was 19 Whose idea was what became the EZ Reader 20 solving was being able to interact with customers on 20 product? 21 21 MR. BUSTAMANTE: Objection, form. 22 MR. BUSTAMANTE: Objection, form. 22 A. I believe it was -- for me, I won't -- I'm not 23 A. The -- the -- you know, one of the business 23 able to -- I don't have -- I don't have information that 24 problems was how to handle on-line interaction with lets me see an individual coming up with the idea alone. customers, broadly stated, you know, of which e-mail is 25 I mean, it was part of the team process that we had so it Page 57 Page 55 1 Anthony Angotti Anthony Angotti 57 one aspect of that. Other forms of, you know, messaging, was a collective idea. 3 messages that might come in from a customer, and so the 3 Q. Who was the team that was working on knowledge-based applications group was, you know, tasked 4 EZ Reader? 5 with, you know, finding a way to use technology to 5 A. I don't -- I don't think I can recall every 6 automate, you know, business processes on behalf of 6 name but the main group that was working on it was Amy 7 on-line services. 7 Rice, Julie Hsu, Rosanna Piccolo. I was also part of 8 Q. Okay. So what was the -- you've described the that subteam. That was the main nucleus group that was 8 problem now that EZ Reader was trying to solve. What did carried on the day-to-day kind of project. There were the product do? How did it solve that problem? 10 other folks that got involved in the business unit and in 11 MR. BUSTAMANTE: Objection, form. 11 the IT group but, you know, they played very support 12 A. EZ Reader addressed that problem by, you know, roles, project management roles. A Connie Lynch was part 12 13 being able to do what it was doing then and that was, you 13 of the team, not EZ Reader, per se, but she worked know, receive an electronic message from the customer, closely with Amy, Amy Rice, on a list of projects that we 14 15 determine the nature of that -- of that message and 15 were working on. 16 respond to that if it could. 16 Q. Do you remember any -- sorry, didn't mean to 17 Q. When you say "if it could," what do you mean 17 interrupt. 18 by that? 18 A. I don't recall -- one other name that comes to 19 A. You know, if it was -- you know, determining mind that was -- I wouldn't -- would have considered part 19 20 if it could was, you know, a part of the, you know, of the team, so I don't know if it's relevant. Do you 20 21 invention of, you know, determining -- determining the 21 want that name? 22 content and comparing that to cases that were, you know, 22 Q. Can you say who that was? 23 known, known e-mails from -- known customer requests and 23 A. Janice Browne. That's about as deep as I can 24 determining if this request was like one that was already 24 dig. resolved and then taking the -- a similar action based on Now, I'd like to get an idea what each of

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Confidential - Attorney's Eyes Only

	Page 182	Page 184
	I think we're off the record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. White? MR. WHITE: Same. We've finished our questioning today but we reserve the right to come back if we find there's additional documents. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Concluded? Having heard the approval of all parties, this concludes the testimony of Anthony A. Angotti. We are off the record November 13th, 2009 approximately 4:09:00 p.m.	I, PAMELA PALOMEQUE, Court Reporter and Notary Public, certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place therein set forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by me; That the testimony of the witness and all objections made at the time of the examination were recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed; That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken; I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or of any of the parties nor financially interested in the action.
2.	1	23 24
2		25
1	Page 183	
1 2 3 4 5 6	STATE OF NEW YORK	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	foregoing transcript of my deposition taken November 15, 2009, at Syracuse, New York, pursuant to the applicable rules of Civil Procedure, and that the foregoing 182 pages of transcript are in conformity with my testimony given at that time (with the exception of any corrections made by me, in ink, and initialed by me on the attached	
15	Anthony Angotti	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STATE OF NEW YORK	

47 (Pages 182 to 184)

Veritext Corporate Services

800-567-8658

973-410-4040