

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., AOL LLC, MICROSOFT  
CORPORATION, YAHOO! INC.,  
IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., and  
A9.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:07-cv-432 (LED)

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERDEFENDANT PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.'S**

**REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.**

Plaintiff Performance Pricing, Inc. ("Performance Pricing") hereby answers the counterclaims of Defendant Google Inc. ("GOOGLE"). The paragraphs in this reply are numbered to correspond with the paragraph numbers in Google's counterclaims. All of the allegations of the counterclaims not specifically admitted herein are specifically denied.

**PARTIES**

1. Performance Pricing admits the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of Google's counterclaims.
2. Performance Pricing admits the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of Google's counterclaims.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. Performance Pricing admits the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of Google's counterclaims.

4. Performance Pricing admits the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of Google's counterclaims.

COUNTERCLAIMS

5. Performance Pricing denies that the '253 patent was issued improperly.

Performance Pricing admits the remaining allegations in paragraph 5 of Google's counterclaims.

6. Performance Pricing admits the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of Google's counterclaims.

7. Performance Pricing admits the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of Google's counterclaims.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement

8. Performance Pricing incorporates by reference its responses to the facts and allegations in its complaint and paragraphs 1 through 7 of its reply to Google's counterclaims as if fully set forth herein. With respect to Google's incorporation of paragraphs 1-16 of the answer, paragraphs 1-16 of Google's answer do not appear to contain any allegations, so no response appears necessary. To the extent that paragraphs 1-16 of Google's answer contain any allegations, Performance Pricing denies those allegations. Performance Pricing denies the allegations contained in Google's defenses.

9. Performance Pricing denies the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of Google's counterclaims.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity and/or Unenforceability

10. Performance Pricing incorporates by reference its responses to the facts and allegations in its complaint and paragraphs 1 through 9 of its reply to Google's counterclaims as if fully set forth herein. With respect to Google's incorporation of paragraphs 1-16 of the



Email: greg@dovellaw.com  
Sean Luner  
CA State Bar No. 165443  
Email: sean@dovellaw.com  
Dovel & Luner, LLP  
201 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 600  
Santa Monica, CA 90401  
Telephone: 310-656-7066  
Facsimile: 310-657-7069

S. Calvin Capshaw  
State Bar No. 03783900  
Elizabeth L. DeRieux  
State Bar No. 05770585  
Brown McCarroll, L.L.P.  
1127 Judson Road, Suite 220  
Longview, TX 75601  
Telephone: (903) 236-9800  
Facsimile: (903) 236-8787  
Email: capshaw@mailbmc.com  
Email: ederieux@mailbmc.com

Robert M. Parker  
State Bar No. 15498000  
Email: rmparker@cox-internet.com  
Robert Christopher Bunt  
State Bar No. 00787165  
Email: cbunt@cox-internet.com  
Parker & Bunt, P.C.  
100 East Ferguson, Ste. 1114  
Tyler, TX 75702  
Telephone: 903/531-3535  
Facsimile: 903/533-9687

Franklin Jones, Jr.  
State Bar No. 00000055  
Email: maizieh@millerfirm.com  
Jones & Jones, Inc., P.C.  
201 W. Houston St.  
P.O. Drawer 1249  
Marshall, TX 75670

Otis W. Carroll, Jr.  
State Bar No. 03895700  
Email: fedserv@icklaw.com  
6101 S. Broadway, Suite 500

Tyler, TX 75703

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF  
PERFORMANCE PRICING, INC.