

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PA ADVISORS, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC., ET AL.

Defendants.

No. 2:07-cv-480-TJW

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO CONTEXTWEB, INC.'S COUNTERCLAIM

Plaintiff PA Advisors, LLC ("PA Advisors") replies to the counterclaim of Defendant ContextWeb, Inc. ("ContextWeb") by corresponding paragraph number as follows:

37. Admitted that ContextWeb brings its counterclaim under the Declaratory Judgment Act. Denied as to the merits of the counterclaim.

38. Admitted that ContextWeb's counterclaim recites a cause of action arising under the patent laws and is based on a continuing and justiciable case or actual controversy between ContextWeb and PA Advisors. Denied as to the merits of the counterclaim.

39. Admitted.

40. Admitted.

41. Admitted that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over ContextWeb's counterclaim. Denied as to the merits of the counterclaim.

42. Admitted that this Court has personal jurisdiction over PA Advisors. Denied as to the merits of the counterclaim.

43. Admitted that venue is proper in this judicial district. Otherwise denied.

44. Paragraph 44 purports to incorporate by reference the allegations in paragraphs 37 through 43 of ContextWeb's counterclaim and is, therefore, improper and incapable of being admitted or denied. Subject to the foregoing, PA Advisors incorporates its responses to paragraphs 37 through 43 above.

45. Admitted that an actual and justiciable case or controversy exists between ContextWeb and PA Advisors. Denied as to the merits of the counterclaim.

46. Denied.

47. Denied.

To the extent necessary, Plaintiff denies that ContextWeb is entitled to the relief requested in its prayer for relief. In addition, to the extent necessary, Plaintiff denies any allegation in the counterclaim not specifically admitted above, and Plaintiff re-alleges infringement, enforceability, validity and its damages, and denies any allegations in the counterclaim adverse to same.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

PA Advisors respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment denying and dismissing ContextWeb's counterclaim, and that the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff as requested in Plaintiff's complaint, as amended or supplemented.

Dated: February 14, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

PA ADVISORS, LLC

By: /s/ Amy E. LaValle
Eric M. Albritton
Texas State Bar No. 00790215
ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 2659
Longview, Texas 75606
Telephone: (903) 757-8449
Facsimile: (903) 758-7397
E-mail: ema@emafirm.com

David M. Pridham
R.I. State Bar No. 6625
207 C North Washington Avenue
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: (903) 938-7400
Facsimile: (903) 938-7404
E-mail: david@ipnav.com

Amy E. LaValle
Texas State Bar No. 24040529
THE LAVALLE LAW FIRM
3811 Turtle Creek Boulevard
Suite 1620
Dallas, Texas 75219
Telephone: (214) 732-7533
Facsimile: (214) 292-8831
E-mail: lavalle@lavallelawfirm.com

Of Counsel:
Joseph Diamante
JENNER & BLOCK LLP
919 Third Avenue, 37th Floor
New York, New York 10022-3908
Telephone: (212) 891-1600
Facsimile: (212) 891-1699
E-mail: jdiamante@jenner.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
PA ADVISORS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served today with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile transmission and/or first class mail on this same date.

Dated: February 14, 2008

/s/ Amy E. LaValle