

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC )  
 )  
v. ) Civil Case No.  
 ) 2:07-cv-511(CE)  
GOOGLE INC., YAHOO! INC., IAC )  
SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., AOL, )  
LLC, AND LYCOS, INC. )

October 1, 2008  
9:47 a.m.

The Videotaped Deposition of J. CHRISTOPHER  
LYNCH, taken pursuant to notice on behalf of the  
Defendants, at the Marriott Hotel at Research  
Triangle Park, 4700 Guardian Drive, Durham, North  
Carolina 27703, before Suzanne G. Patterson,  
Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public.

**EXHIBIT 22**

114

1 name change with the U.S. Patent Office?  
2 A. We -- I assume this is what you're interested  
3 in -- we also filed an assignment from Slash to Daniel  
4 Egger.  
5 Q. And when did you do that?  
6 A. In February 2005.  
7 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as  
8 Exhibit 42.  
9 (Lynch Exhibit 42 marked for identification.)  
10 BY MR. BAKER:  
11 Q. Do you recognize that document?  
12 A. Yes, I do.  
13 Q. What is it?  
14 A. It is a patent assignment from Slash to Daniel  
15 Egger with respect to the 494 and 352 patents.  
16 Q. Okay. And what's the date of this assignment?  
17 A. Executed February 11, 2005.  
18 Q. If you look at the first page, it appears that  
19 this assignment was filed by your colleague, Mr. Jones,  
20 with the U.S. Patent Office assignment department; is  
21 that right?  
22 A. That's correct.  
23 Q. Is it okay if I refer to this as the 2005 Slash  
24 assignment?  
25 A. Yes.

115

1 Q. And, again, you were -- you supervised your  
2 staff in the preparation of this assignment; is that  
3 right?  
4 A. That's correct.  
5 Q. Now, this assignment lists two patents on the  
6 final page on schedule A; is that right?  
7 A. That's correct.  
8 Q. Do you know why it doesn't list the third  
9 patent that we were talking about earlier today, I  
10 think it was the 571 patent?  
11 A. That patent was already listed as being in  
12 Daniel Egger's name.  
13 Q. And why then did you not need to include it in  
14 the 2005 Slash assignment?  
15 A. The assignments, the 2005 assignments were  
16 filed for the purpose of recording record ownership of  
17 these two patents in Daniel Egger's name. Ultimately,  
18 what the client had requested us to do was to transfer  
19 three patents from Daniel Egger's name to SRA. These  
20 two patents reflected in the 2005 assignment were not  
21 recorded as being in Daniel Egger's name. So the  
22 assignments were to cause the record to reflect the  
23 ownership of those patents by Daniel Egger.  
24 Q. Now, if you'd take out your Declaration,  
25 please.

116

1 A. Yes.  
2 Q. And in paragraph 3 of your Declaration, you  
3 talk about the purpose of filing the 2005 Slash  
4 assignment; is that correct?  
5 A. That's correct.  
6 Q. And you state in part that the purpose of  
7 filing the 2005 Slash assignment was not to correct any  
8 defect in the name of the party on the instrument; is  
9 that correct?  
10 A. That's correct.  
11 Q. I guess, later in the Declaration, in paragraph  
12 4, you state that your intention was to file a  
13 replacement assignment reflecting the previous  
14 transaction; is that right?  
15 A. That's right.  
16 Q. And the previous transaction you're referring  
17 to there is the 1998 bill of sale and assignment that  
18 we talked about earlier; is that right?  
19 A. That's right.  
20 Q. And earlier we also -- we can also agree that  
21 you had tried to locate a copy of the 1998 bill of sale  
22 and assignment both within your firm and from Mr. Egger  
23 at this time in 2005; is that correct?  
24 A. That's correct.  
25 Q. And you could not locate a copy; is that right?

117

1 A. That's correct.  
2 Q. So you decided to file the 2005 Slash  
3 assignment to replace the 1998 bill of sale and  
4 assignment; is that right?  
5 A. Not exactly. The purpose of the 2005  
6 assignment was to, not to replace the 1998 bill of sale  
7 and assignment but to bring the PTO ownership records  
8 current with what we believed to be the actual state of  
9 ownership, that is, ownership by Daniel Egger. The  
10 1998 assignment, in my opinion, reflected -- caused the  
11 transfer of ownership of the patents to Daniel Egger,  
12 the PTO assignment records did not reflect the  
13 underlying ownership of the patents. So the purpose of  
14 the assignments was to correct the PTO records which we  
15 believe to be an incorrect reflection of the true state  
16 of ownership.  
17 Q. Again, why was it necessary to -- why did you  
18 want the PTO records to be correct with respect to the  
19 ownership of the asserted patents here?  
20 A. Ultimately, the original client's request was  
21 to cause the transfer of ownership of the patents from  
22 Daniel Egger to Software Rights Archive. In effecting  
23 that transfer of ownership, we wanted -- we felt it was  
24 necessary to record an assignment from Daniel Egger to  
25 SRA, but it would not have been possible for us to file

ERRATA SHEET

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PAGE/LINE CHANGE TO:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Deponent

Sworn to and subscribed before me

This \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_,

2008 in \_\_\_\_\_ County.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My commission expires:  
\_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA:  
COUNTY OF DURHAM:

I, Suzanne G. Patterson, do hereby certify that I placed under oath the deponent, Christopher Lynch, at the time and place herein designated.

Witness my hand this 6th day of October, 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne G. Patterson, RPR  
Notary Public, County of Wake  
State of North Carolina  
My Commission Expires: 9/5/2010

I, Suzanne G. Patterson, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings at the time and place herein designated; and that the foregoing pages constitute a true, complete and accurate transcription of my said stenotype notes.

I further certify that I am not of counsel for, related to, or employed by any party hereto or attorney involved herein, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.

Witness my hand this 6th day of October, 2008.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Suzanne G. Patterson, RPR  
Notary Public, Wake County  
State of North Carolina  
My Commission Expires: 9/5/2010