## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC

v.

**Civil Case No. 2:07-cv-511 (CE)** 

GOOGLE INC., YAHOO! INC., IAC SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., AOL, LLC, AND LYCOS, INC.

## ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND CERTAIN SCHEDULING DEADLINES

Before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion to Extend Certain Scheduling Deadlines.

Having considered the matter, and the fact that it is a joint motion, the Court GRANTS the

Motion and extends the following deadlines as reflected in the below chart:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	AGREED TO EXTENDED
		<u>DEADLINE</u>
Deadline for Document	May 15, 2009	June 30, 2009
Production under paragraph		
3(b) of September 12, 2008		
Discovery Order (Dkt. No.		
82), as extended in Dkt. No.		
116. As reflected in Dkt. No.		
127, Source Code will not be		
included by Defendants in this		
production.		
Deadline for serving a	June 12, 2009	July 28, 2009
complete computation of any		
category of damages claimed		
by any party to this action,		
making available for		
inspection and copying as		
under Rule 34, the documents		
or other evidentiary material		
on which such computation is		
based, including materials		

extent of injuries suffered, under paragraph 3(c) of September 12, 2008 Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 82)  Deadline for Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants' systems) in a maintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff' believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
under paragraph 3(c) of September 12, 2008 Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 82)  Deadline for Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced, Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	bearing on the nature and		
September 12, 2008 Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 82)  Deadline for Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
September 12, 2008 Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 82)  Deadline for Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	under paragraph 3(c) of		
Order (Dkt. No. 82)  Deadline for Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
Deadline for Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	Order (Dkt. No. 82)		
be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such		June 19, 2009	August 4, 2009
letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain  Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to  Defendants on or before  September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			1, 200
there are no disputes as to privilege)  Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain  Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to  Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	_		
Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	_		
of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	1 0	Inly 17, 2000	Santambar 1, 2000
portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such		July 17, 2009	September 1, 2009
systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	,		
Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	-		
in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	-		
systems) in writing to Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	•		
Defendants on or before September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	portions of Defendants'		
September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	systems) in writing to		
identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	Defendants on or before		
identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	September 1, 2009. Plaintiff's		
systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	=		
systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	*		
an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	-		
modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	,		
Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	· •		
shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	•		
modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	· ·		
which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	-		
believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	•		
access to Source Code.  Defendants reserve the right to object to any such			
Defendants reserve the right to object to any such	_		
object to any such			
Adoptete onten thom Illountett			
identification from Plaintiff.			
At that time, the parties will	· ±		
attempt in good faith to agree			
on reasonable limits as to the			
manner, location, and quantity			
with regard to printing of any			
of the production. Nothing	_		
herein shall preclude Plaintiff	=		
from seeking further discovery	from seeking further discovery		

relating to Defendants' Source Code or shall obligate Defendants to acquiesce to such discovery. Nothing in this Order shall obligate the parties to produce or relieve the parties from producing any Source Code or constitute an admission that any particular source code or object code is, or is not, discoverable.  On or before September 15, 2009, the parties will file a joint motion to further supplement the Protective Order to address issues and protocols regarding discovery	July 31, 2009	September 15, 2009
protocols regarding discovery of Source Code, or otherwise		
notify the Court of any disputes regarding the		
discovery of Source Code.		

CHARLES EVERINGHAMIV UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SIGNED this 29th day of April, 2009.