

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC

v.

**GOOGLE INC., YAHOO! INC., IAC
SEARCH & MEDIA, INC., AOL, LLC,
AND LYCOS, INC.**

Civil Case No. 2:07-cv-511 (CE)

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO FURTHER EXTEND
CERTAIN SCHEDULING DEADLINES**

Before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion to Further Extend Certain Scheduling Deadlines. Having considered the matter, and the fact that it is a joint motion, the Court GRANTS the Motion and extends the following deadlines as reflected in the below chart:

<u>EVENT</u>	<u>CURRENT DEADLINE</u>	<u>EXTENDED DEADLINE</u>
Deadline for Document Production under paragraph 3(b) of September 12, 2008 Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 82), as extended in Dkt. No. 163. As reflected in Dkt. No. 127, Source Code will not be included by Defendants in this production.	September 30, 2009	October 30, 2009
Deadline for serving a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to this action, making available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34, the documents or other evidentiary material on which such computation is based, including materials	October 28, 2009	November 30, 2009

<p>bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered, under paragraph 3(c) of September 12, 2008 Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 82)</p>		
<p>Deadline for Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to privilege)</p>	<p>November 4, 2009</p>	<p>December 4, 2009</p>
<p>Plaintiff will determine which of Defendants' modules (or portions of Defendants' systems), if any, contain Source Code that it contends in good faith should be produced. Plaintiff will identify these modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) in writing to Defendants on or before January 8, 2010. Plaintiff's identification of modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) shall not constitute an admission as to which modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) are relevant to the case, but rather shall merely identify the modules (or portions of Defendants' systems) as to which, as of that date, Plaintiff believes in good faith it needs access to Source Code. Defendants reserve the right to object to any such identification from Plaintiff. At that time, the parties will attempt in good faith to agree on reasonable limits as to the manner, location, and quantity with regard to printing of any of the production. Nothing herein shall preclude Plaintiff from seeking further discovery</p>	<p>December 7, 2009</p>	<p>January 8, 2010</p>

<p>relating to Defendants' Source Code or shall obligate Defendants to acquiesce to such discovery. Nothing in this Order shall obligate the parties to produce or relieve the parties from producing any Source Code or constitute an admission that any particular source code or object code is, or is not, discoverable.</p>		
<p>On or before January 22, 2010, the parties will file a joint motion to further supplement the Protective Order to address issues and protocols regarding discovery of Source Code, or otherwise notify the Court of any disputes regarding the discovery of Source Code.</p>	<p>December 23, 2009</p>	<p>January 22, 2010</p>