# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

#### MARSHALL DIVISION

FPX, LLC, (d.b.a. FIREPOND), Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Civil Action No. 2:09-cv-00142

Plaintiff,

(1) GOOGLE, INC.;

v.

- (2) YOUTUBE, LLC;
- $(3) \quad AOL, LLC;$
- (4) TURNER BROADCASTING SYSTEM, INC.;
- (5) MYSPACE, INC., and
- (6) IAC/INTERACTIVECORP

Defendants.

# **UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS**

In accordance with the Court's suggestions at the hearing on Plaintiff's motion for class certification, held December 1, 2010 (the minutes of which are at Docket No. 106), Plaintiff FPX, LLC ("Plaintiff") hereby seeks leave to submit the following document additional evidence in support of its motion for class certification:

- Trademark Registration Certificate for "Firepond" mark (Meyer Decl. Exhibit A);
- Trademark Registration Certificate for "John Beck's" mark (Meyer Decl. Exhibit B);
- 3. Evidence of Google's sale of the "John Beck's" mark (Meyer Decl. Exhibit C)
- 4. Assignment of Firepond mark to Plaintiff FPX, LLC (Meyer Decl. Exhibit D);

5. Supplemental declaration of Scott M. Kline regarding the qualifications of himself and his new firm, SNR Denton

This motion is being made because justice requires providing the Court with all evidence it requires to decide the motion for class certification, and because good cause exists to supplement the record as proposed above. Defendants do not oppose supplementing the record in this manner.

Good cause exists to submit these exhibits because (1) their content and existence has never been in dispute and are not reasonable subject to dispute; (2) their content was discussed at the hearing subject to their being subsequently admitted; (3) admission would eliminate technicalities and allow the Court to address class certification on the merits; and, (4) the Defendants do not oppose. However, Defendants have expressly retained the right to object to any newly filed evidence.

Because the hearing in this case and in the related case, *John Beck Amazing Profits, LLC v. Google*, 09-CV-151 was consolidated, the aforementioned exhibits are being submitted only once, by this motion. Should the Court so request, the Plaintiffs in the *Beck* case are prepared to re-submit the same exhibits (and an analogous Kline declaration) separately in that case.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that its motion to supplement the record be granted. Dated: December 7, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

> By: <u>/s/Nathan D. Meyer</u> Marc A. Fenster, CA SB # 181067 E-mail: <u>mfenster@raklaw.com</u> Nathan Meyer, CA SB # 239850 Email: nmeyer@raklaw.com RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT 12424 Wilshire Boulevard 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 Telephone: 310/826-7474 Facsimile: 310/826-6991

Scott M. Kline, TX SB # 11573100

Email: scott.kline@snrdenton.com SNR Denton US LLP 2000 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1900 Dallas, TX 75201-1858 Direct 214/259 0970 Telephone: 214/906 5149 Facsimile: 214/259 0910

David M. Pridham, RI Bar No. 6625 E-mail: <u>david@pridhamiplaw.com</u> LAW OFFICE OF DAVID PRIDHAM 25 Linden Road Barrington, Rhode Island 02806 Telephone: 401/633-7247 Facsimile: 401/633-7247

Andrew W. Spangler, TX SB # 24041960 E-mail: <u>spangler@spanglerlawpc.com</u> SPANGLER LAW P.C. 208 N. Green Street, Suite 300 Longview, Texas 75601 Telephone: 903/753-9300 Facsimile: 903/553-0403

Attorneys for Plaintiff FPX, LLC

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served on December 7, 2010 with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3). Any other counsel of record will be served by electronic mail, facsimile transmission and/or first class mail on this same date.

Dated: December 7, 2010

<u>/s/ Nathan D. Meyer</u> Nathan D. Meyer

## **CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

The undersigned counsel for Plaintiff in the above captioned and numbered cause, states that counsel has complied with the meet and confer requirement in Local Rule CV-7(h) and the foregoing motion is unopposed.

Dated: December 7, 2010

<u>/s/ Nathan D. Meyer</u> Nathan D. Meyer