IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

API TECHNOLOGIES, LLC	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 2:09-cv-00147
	§	
FACEBOOK, INC., et al.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
	§	

DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

In accordance with the case status conference held herein on the 14^{th} day of December, 2009, it is hereby

ORDERED that the following schedule of deadlines is in effect until further order of this court:

April 2, 2012	Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas.
TBD	Pretrial Conference – a.m./p.m. in Marshall, Texas.
At least 2 weeks	Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, and Form of the
before the pretrial	Verdict.
conference.	
At least 2 weeks	Motions in Limine Due
before the pretrial	
conference.	The parties are ordered to meet and confer on their respective
	motions in limine and advise the court of any agreements in this
	regard by 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial
	conference. The parties shall limit their motions in limine to those
	issues which, if improperly introduced into the trial of the case would
	be so prejudicial that the court could not alleviate the prejudice with
	appropriate instruction(s).
At least 2 weeks	Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting of
before the pretrial	Court Proceedings. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of
conference.	court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making
Conference.	
	said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court
	Reporter, Susan Simmons, at <u>lssimmons@yahoo.com</u> .

A . 1 2 1	
At least 3 weeks	Response to Dispositive Motions (including <i>Daubert</i> motions). ¹
before the pretrial conference.	Descriptions to dispositive metions filed prior to the dispositive
conference.	Responses to dispositive motions filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline, including <i>Daubert</i> motions, shall be due in
	accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e). Motions for Summary
	Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV56.
At least 5 weeks	For Filing Dispositive Motions and any other motions that may
before the pretrial	require a hearing (including <i>Daubert</i> motions).
conference.	require a hearing (merading Danbert motions).
At least 4 weeks	Mediation to be completed.
before the pretrial	reduction to be completed.
conference.	
At least 4 weeks	Defendant to Identify Trial Witnesses.
before the pretrial	·
conference.	
At least 5 weeks	Plaintiff to Identify Trial Witnesses.
before the pretrial	
conference.	
At least 6 weeks	Discovery Deadline
before the pretrial	
conference.	
60 days after claim	Designate Rebuttal Expert Witnesses other than claims construction.
construction ruling.	Expert witness report due.
20.1 0 1.1	Refer to Discovery Order for required information.
30 days after claim	Comply with P.R. 3-7.
construction ruling.	Destructed the head of the first term of Witness of the
30 days after claim	Party with the burden of proof to designate Expert Witnesses other than claims construction.
construction ruling.	
	Expert witness report due. Refer to Discovery Order for required information.
February 9, 2011	Claim construction hearing – 9:00 a.m., Marshall, Texas.
December 17, 2011	Comply with P.R. 4-5(c).
December 3, 2010	Comply with P.R. 4-5(b).
November 12, 2010	Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties
	(or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to claims
	of privileged documents).
October 25, 2010	Comply with P.R. 4-5(a).
October 22, 2010	Discovery deadline – claims construction issues.
October 15, 2010	Comply with P.R. 4-3.
October 8, 2010	Respond to Amended Pleadings.
September 24, 2010	Comply with P.R. 4-2.

¹ The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that "[i]n the event a party fails to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein the court will assume that the party has no opposition." Local Rule CV-7(c) provides that a party opposing a motion has 12 days, in addition to any added time permitted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(e), in which to serve and file a response and any supporting documents, after which the court will consider the submitted motion for decision.

September 24, 2010	Amend Pleadings	
	(It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the	
	deadline to amend pleadings except to the extent the amendment	
	seeks to add a new patent in suit. It is necessary to file a Motion	
	for Leave to Amend after September 17, 2010).	
September 24, 2010	Join Additional Parties.	
September 3, 2010	Comply with P.R. 4-1	
August 13, 2010	Comply with Mandatory Disclosure Deadline pursuant to Paragraph 3	
	of the Courts' Discovery Order.	
July 15, 2010	Comply with P.R. 3-3.	
July 1, 2010	Comply with P.R. 3-4	
April 20, 2010	Parties to Submit proposed agreed Protective Order, or their	
	competing versions.	
April 20, 2010	Comply with Mandatory Disclosure Deadline pursuant to Paragraph 1	
	of the Courts' Discovery Order.	
April 2, 2010	Comply with P.R. 3-1 and 3-2.	
March 1, 2010	With respect to each Defendant, Plaintiff discloses and identifies the	
	accused instrumentalities by name.	

LIMITATIONS ON MOTIONS PRACTICE

Summary Judgment Motions: Prior to filing any summary judgment motion, the parties must submit letter briefs seeking permission to file the motion. The opening letter brief in each of those matters shall be no longer than five (5) pages and shall be filed with the Court no later than sixty (60) days before the deadline for filing summary judgment motions. Answering letter briefs in each of those matters shall be no longer than five (5) pages and filed with the Court no later than fourteen (14) days thereafter. Reply briefs in each of those matters shall be no longer than three (3) pages and filed with the Court no later than five (5) days thereafter. The Court may decide the question on the submissions or hold a hearing or telephone conference to hear arguments and to determine whether the filing of any motion will be permitted.

Motions to Strike Expert Testimony/Daubert Motions: Prior to filing any Motions to Strike or Daubert Motions, the parties must submit letter briefs seeking permission to file the motion. The opening letter brief in each of those matters shall be no longer than three (3) pages and shall be filed with the Court no later than sixty (60) days before the deadline for filing Motions to Strike or Daubert Motions. Answering letter briefs in each of those matters shall be no longer than three (3) pages and filed with the Court no later than fourteen (14) days thereafter. Reply briefs in each of those matters shall be no longer than two (2) pages and filed with the Court no later than five (5) days thereafter. The Court may hold a hearing or telephone conference to hear arguments and to determine whether the filing of any motion will be permitted.

For all of the above mentioned motions, the letter briefs shall be filed without exhibits. Any requests to submit letter briefs after the deadlines outlined above must show good cause.

OTHER LIMITATIONS

- 1. All depositions to be read into evidence as part of the parties' case-in-chief shall be **EDITED** so as to exclude all unnecessary, repetitious, and irrelevant testimony; ONLY those portions which are relevant to the issues in controversy shall be read into evidence.
- 2. The Court will refuse to entertain any motion to compel discovery filed after the date of this Order unless the movant advises the Court within the body of the motion that counsel for the parties have first conferred in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter. See Eastern District of Texas Local Rule CV-7(h).
- 3. The following excuses will not warrant a continuance not justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:
 - (a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
 - (b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;
 - (c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 22ndday of January, 2010.

John Ward

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE