UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

THE RODNEY A. HAMILTON LIVING
TRUST and JOHN BECK AMAZING
PROFITS, LLC, Individually and on Behalf
of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

(1) GOOGLE INC.; AND
(2) AOL LLC,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' REPLY TO MOTION TO STRIKE

Defendants respectfully submit this Reply as follows:

I. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

A. THE FENSTER DECLARATION-PARAGRAPH NO. 2.

Defendants' objections to Paragraph No. 2 and Exhibit A of the Fenster Declaration remain unrebutted. The statements are vague, conclusory, and speculative. <u>Burger King Corp. v. Lumbermens Mut. Cas. Co.</u>, 410 F. Supp.2d 1249, 1255 (S.D. Fla. 2005). Plaintiffs' Response only offers additional unsupported, vague and conclusory statements that the Fenster Declaration does "in fact reflect Google's trademark policy." (Resp. at 1). The Response also claims, without explanation or specific reference, that Defendants' own declaration offered by Kerry Barker further authenticates "this policy." (<u>Id.</u>, citing Declaration of Kerry Barker, "generally"). To the contrary, the Barker Declaration does not support Mr. Fenster's statements. In fact, the Fenster Declaration simply attaches random documents from Google's production without any explanation. Thus, Plaintiffs' continued failure to specify what they mean by

"Google's current trademark policy" and their vague reliance on Defendants' Barker Declaration further illustrates the defects in the Fenster Declaration. Therefore, Defendants ask the Court to strike Paragraph No. 2 and Exhibit A of the Fenster Declaration.

B. THE FENSTER DECLARATION-PARAGRAPH NOS. 5 & 6.

Defendants' objections to Paragraph Nos. 5 and 6 and Exhibits C and D of the Fenster Declaration remain unrebutted. The statements constitute inadmissible hearsay and are vague, ambiguous, speculative, and conclusory. Fed. R. Evid. 810(c); <u>Burger King</u>, 410 F. Supp.2d at 1255. The fact that the Meyer Declaration is now offered as the person "who actually performed the searches at issue" further demonstrates the defective nature of the Fenster Declaration. Consequently, Defendants ask the Court to strike Paragraph Nos. 5 and 6 and Exhibits C and D of the Fenster Declaration.

II. PRAYER

Defendants respectfully request that their Motion to Strike be granted, and for such further relief to which they are entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles L. Babcock

CHARLES L. "CHIP" BABCOCK Texas State Bar No. 01479500 JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 901 Main Street, Suite 6000 Dallas, Texas 75202 (214) 953-6030 (214) 953-5822 - Fax

Email: cbabcock@jw.com

DAVID T. MORAN Texas State Bar No. 14419400 JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 901 Main Street, Suite 6000 Dallas, Texas 75202 (214) 953-6051 (214) 661-6677 - Fax Email: dmoran@jw.com

CARL C. BUTZER Texas State Bar No. 03545900 JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 901 Main Street, Suite 6000 Dallas, Texas 75202 (214) 953-5902 (214) 661-6609 - Fax Email: cbutzer@jw.com

SHANNON ZMUD TEICHER Texas State Bar No. 24047169 JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 901 Main Street, Suite 6000 Dallas, Texas 75202 (214) 953-5987 (214) 661-6844 - Fax

Email: steicher@jw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 15, 2010, I electronically submitted the foregoing

document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas, using

the electronic case files system of the court. The electronic case files system sent a "Notice of

Electronic Filing" to individuals who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service

of this document by electronic means. All other counsel of record not deemed to have consented

to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by first class mail

today, November 15, 2010.

/s/ Charles L. Babcock

Charles L. Babcock