

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**WIRELESS RECOGNITION  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,**

**Plaintiff,**

vs.

**A9.COM, INC., AMAZON.COM, INC.,  
GOOGLE INC., NOKIA, INC., and  
RICOH INNOVATIONS, INC.,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil No. 2:10-CV-00364-TJW-CE

**WIRELESS RECOGNITION  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,**

**Plaintiff,**

vs.

**NOKIA CORPORATION and RICOH  
COMPANY, LTD.,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil No. 2:10-CV-00365-TJW

**WIRELESS RECOGNITION  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,**

**Plaintiff,**

vs.

**A9.COM, INC., AMAZON.COM, INC.,  
GOOGLE INC., NOKIA, INC., and  
RICOH INNOVATIONS, INC.,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil No. 2:10-CV-00577-TJW

**WIRELESS RECOGNITION  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,**

**Plaintiff,**

**vs.**

**NOKIA CORPORATION and RICOH  
COMPANY, LTD.,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil No. 2:10-CV-00578-TJW

---

**DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

COMES NOW, Defendants A9.com, Inc., Amazon.com, Inc., Google Inc., Nokia, Inc., Ricoh Innovations, Inc., Nokia Corporation, and Ricoh Company, Ltd. and file this Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Plaintiff Wireless Recognition Technologies LLC's Motion to Consolidate Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) and Local Rule CV-42(b), and in support thereof would state as follows:

Plaintiff filed its Motion to Consolidate Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) and Local Rule CV-42(b) on August 16, 2011. (Dkt. Nos. 94 (2:10-cv-364), 23 (2:10-cv-365), 38 (2:10-cv-577), and 25 (2:10-cv-578).) Defendants' Response is currently due on Friday, September 16, 2011. Defendants respectfully request an additional seven (7) days to file their Response to the Motion to Consolidate up to and including September 23, 2011.

Counsel for Defendants have conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and Plaintiff does not oppose the relief requested herein.

Dated: September 16, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Michael C. Smith*

---

Michael C. Smith  
michaelsmith@siebman.com  
Texas State Bar No. 18650410  
SIEBMAN, BURG, PHILLIPS & SMITH, LLP  
P.O. Box 1556  
Marshall, TX 75671-1556  
Telephone: 903.938.8900  
Facsimile: 972.767.4620

James F. Valentine (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
JValentine@perkinscoie.com  
California State Bar No. 149269  
Daniel T. Shvodian (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
DShvodian@perkinscoie.com  
California State Bar No. 184576  
Perkins Coie LLP  
3150 Porter Drive  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212  
Telephone: 650.838.4300  
Facsimile: 650.838.4350

*Attorneys for Defendants and  
Counterclaimants*  
A9.COM, INC., AMAZON.COM, INC., and  
GOOGLE INC.

*/s/ Michael C. Smith*

---

Michael C. Smith  
michaelsmith@siebman.com  
Texas State Bar No. 18650410  
SIEBMAN, BURG, PHILLIPS & SMITH, LLP  
P.O. Box 1556  
Marshall, TX 75671-1556  
Telephone: 903.938.8900  
Facsimile: 972.767.4620

Robert F. Perry (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Allison H. Altersohn (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: 212.556.2100  
Facsimile: 212.556.2222  
E-mail: rperry@kslaw.com  
E-mail: aaltersohn@kslaw.com

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
NOKIA INC. and NOKIA CORPORATION

*/s/ Michael E. Jones*

---

Michael E. Jones  
Texas State Bar No. 18650410  
Allen Franklin Gardner  
POTTER MINTON P.C.  
110 N. College, Suite 500  
P.O. Box 359  
Tyler, TX 75710-0359  
Telephone: 903.597.8311  
Facsimile: 903.593.0846  
E-mail: mikejones@potterminton.com  
E-mail: allengardner@potterminton.com

Mark D. Rowland (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
ROPES & GRAY LLP  
1900 University Avenue, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284  
Telephone: 650.617.4016  
Facsimile: 650.566.4144  
Email: mark.rowland@ropesgray.com

*Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant*  
RICOH INNOVATIONS, INC. and RICOH  
COMPANY, LTD.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(d) and (e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email, on this 16th day of September, 2011.

*/s/ Michael C. Smith*

---

Michael C. Smith