

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

WIRELESS RECOGNITION )  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC, )  
 )  
 *Plaintiff,* )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 A9.COM, INC *et al.* )  
 )  
 )  
 *Defendants.* )  
 )

C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00364-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

---

WIRELESS RECOGNITION )  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC, )  
 )  
 *Plaintiff,* )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 NOKIA CORPORATION *et al.* )  
 )  
 )  
 *Defendants.* )  
 )

C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00365-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

---

WIRELESS RECOGNITION )  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC, )  
 )  
 *Plaintiff,* )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 A9.COM, INC *et al.* )  
 )  
 )  
 *Defendants.* )  
 )

C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00577-JRG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

---

WIRELESS RECOGNITION )  
TECHNOLOGIES LLC, )  
 )  
 *Plaintiff,* )  
 )

v.	)	C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00578-JRG
	)	
NOKIA CORPORATION <i>et al.</i>	)	
	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	)	
<i>Defendants.</i>	)	
	)	

---

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR DISMISSAL**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2), Plaintiff Wireless Recognition Technologies LLC (“WRT”) hereby moves for an order dismissing any and all claims and counterclaims in the foregoing actions, namely C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00364-JRG, C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00365-JRG, C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00577-JRG and C.A. No. 2:10-cv-00578-JRG, by and between (i) WRT and (ii) Defendants Ricoh Company, Ltd. and Ricoh Innovations, Inc. (collectively “Ricoh”) with prejudice. Defendants Ricoh do not oppose this motion.

All attorneys’ fees, costs of court and expenses shall be borne by each party incurring the same.

Dated: January 5, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

By:

/s/ Cameron H. Tousi  
Cameron H. Tousi  
ALBRECHT TOUSI & FARNUM, PLLC  
1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 349-1490 (direct)  
(202) 318-8788 (fax)  
chtousi@atfirm.com

*Admitted pro hac vice  
Attorney for Plaintiff*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(d) and (e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email, on this fifth day of January, 2012.

/s/ Cameron H. Tousi \_\_\_\_\_  
Cameron H. Tousi