

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

WIRELESS RECOGNITION	§	
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:10-cv-364-JRG
v.	§	
	§	
A9.COM, Inc., et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	
	§	

ORDER


Before the Court is the parties' Joint Motion for Entry of Protective Order (Dkt. No. 96.) After considering the parties' arguments, the Court rules as follows on the five particular disputes raised by the parties regarding the proposed Protective Order:

1. Access to Highly Confidential Information (Section 5): The Court adopts the Plaintiff's proposal.
2. Source Code:
 - a. Section 6(b)(i): The Court adopts the Plaintiff's proposal. Should it be requested by the receiving party, the Source Code Computer may be configured by the producing party to run other mutually agreed operating systems, which will be provided at the receiving party's expense.
 - b. Section 6(b)(ix): The Court adopts the Defendants' proposal.
 - c. Section 6(b)(xi): The Court adopts the Plaintiff's proposal.
 - d. Section 6(b)(xii): The Court adopts the Plaintiff's proposal regarding electronic copies and the Defendants' proposal regarding the prohibition on optical character recognition.

- e. Section 6(b)(xiii): The Court adopts the Defendants' proposal.
 - f. 6(b)(xiv)-(xv): The Court adopts the Defendants' proposal.
3. Subpoenas or Court Orders to Compel Protected Documents (Section 14): The Court adopts the Defendants' proposal.
 4. Patent Prosecution Bar (Section 23): The Court adopts the Plaintiff's proposal.
 5. Whether the Parties Must OCR Documents (Section 31): The Court adopts the Defendants' proposal.

The Court ORDERS that the parties submit a new Proposed Protective Order **within ten days** for entry by the Court in accordance with the aforementioned rulings.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 23rd day of January, 2012.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE