IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

Plaintiff,

Plaintiff,

VERTICAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

S

Civil Action No. 2:10-ev-490

V.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

INTERWOVEN, INC.,

LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM

U.S.A., INC., LG ELECTRONICS

INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,

LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS

AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM E. DAVIS, III IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF VERTICAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT INTERWOVEN, INC'S MOTION TO STAY, DISMISS OR TRANSFER

I, William E. Davis, declare as follows:

- 1. I am admitted to practice law in the Eastern District of Texas. I am counsel of record for Vertical Computer Systems, Inc., in the above-captioned action. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff Vertical Computer Systems, Inc.'s ("Vertical") Opposition to Defendant Interwoven, Inc.'s Motion to Stay, Dismiss, or Transfer.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,826,744.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the United States Patent No. 7,716,629.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of correspondence dated January 12, 2009 from Vasilios D. Dossas addressed to Max Carnecchia.
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the January 22, 2009 Autonomy Group PLC Press Release.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Autonomy Corporation

PLC's Annual Report and Accounts for 2009.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of correspondence dated

August, 12, 2010 from Vasilios D. Dossas addressed to Michael J. Sacksteder.

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of an email dated

September 8, 2010 from Joel Scott to Vasilios D. Dossas.

9. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct coy of an email dated April 18,

2007 from Blake Lloyd to David Braun.

10. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Opposition to

Motion to Transfer Venue or Dismiss, filed in the Northern District of California, Dkt. #23, filed

on December 30, 2010.

11. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of a signed Order Denying

Plaintiff's Motion to Enjoin and Defendant's Motion to Transfer or Dismiss, filed in the

Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Dkt. #35, filed on January 24, 2011.

12. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Motion to

Enjoin Defendant from Pursuing Duplicative Litigation, filed in the Northern District of

By:

California, Dkt. #16, filed on December 10, 2010.

Dated: January 27, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

William E. Davis, III