

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**ROCKSTAR CONSORTIUM US LP
AND NETSTAR TECHNOLOGIES
LLC,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-893

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSED MOTION TO STRIKE

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Opposed Motion to Strike Under Local Rule CV-7(a) Google Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Supplemental Brief in Resonse to Google's Motion to Transfer and, in the Alternative, Cross-Motion to Stay Case Pending Resolution of Google's Transfer Motion (Dkt. No. 97). Local Rule CV-7(a) requires that "[e]ach pleading, motion or response to a motion must be filed as a separate document" L.R. CV-7(a). Google's combined Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave and Cross-Motion to Stay is therefore improper, as any Motion to Stay should have been filed as a separate document.

Good cause therefore appearing, the Court hereby GRANTS the Motion. Google's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Supplemental Brief in Resonse to Google's Motion to Transfer and, in the Alternative, Cross-Motion to Stay Case Pending Resolution of Google's Transfer Motion (Dkt. No. 97) is hereby STRICKEN.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that all counsel of record, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served this 26th day of June, 2014 with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CD-5(a)(3).

/s/ Amanda K. Bonn