

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**ROCKSTAR CONSORTIUM US LP  
AND NETSTAR TECHNOLOGIES  
LLC,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**GOOGLE INC.,**

**Defendant.**

**Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-893**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**DECLARATION OF AMANDA K. BONN IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSED MOTION TO STRIKE UNDER LOCAL RULE CV-7(A)  
GOOGLE INC.'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO GOOGLE'S MOTION TO TRANSFER,  
AND, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, CROSS-MOTION TO STAY CASE PENDING  
RESOLUTION OF GOOGLE'S TRANSFER MOTION (DKT. NO. 97)**

I, Amanda K. Bonn, declare as follows:

1. I am a member in good standing of the California State Bar.
2. I am an attorney at the law firm Susman Godfrey LLP and I am one of the attorneys serving as counsel for Plaintiffs Rockstar Consortium US LP and NetStar Technologies LLC (collectively "Plaintiffs") in this action. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' Opposed Motion to Strike Under Local Rule CV-7(A) Google Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File A Supplemental Brief In Response to Google's Motion to Transfer, And, in The Alternative, Cross-Motion to Stay Case Pending Resolution of Google's Transfer Motion (Dkt. No. 97), which is filed herewith.

3. On June 25, 2014, I met and conferred with counsel for Google regarding this Motion to Strike. Google's counsel indicated they believed it appropriate to combine Google's Motion to Stay with Google's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Supplemental Brief because their arguments in favor of a stay overlapped with their arguments opposing Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave. Although Google's counsel indicated they might consider offering additional pages of briefing, they indicated they would do so only if Plaintiffs would also agree to an expedited briefing schedule on both Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave and Google's Cross-Motion to Stay.

4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of an email exchange between counsel for Plaintiffs and Google dated June 24, 2014.

5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of Fusion-IO, Inc.'s Motion to Sever and Transfer in *Solid State Storage Solutions, Inc. v. STEC, Inc. et al.*, No. CV 11-00391 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 24, 2012), Dkt. No. 57.

6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of Fusion-IO, Inc.'s Petition for Writ of Mandamus in *In re Fusion-IO, Inc.*, No. 12-139 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 25, 2012), Dkt. No. 2.

7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of Fusion-IO, Inc.'s Reply in Support of Petition for Writ of Mandamus in *In re Fusion-IO, Inc.*, No. 12-139 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 19, 2012), Dkt. No. 16-1.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014, at Los Angeles, California

/s/ Amanda K. Bonn  
Amanda K. Bonn

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that all counsel of record, who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2014 with a copy of this document and Exhibits 1-4 hereto via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CD-5(a)(3).

/s/ Amanda K. Bonn  
Amanda K. Bonn