

## Exhibit 6

## John Lahad

---

**From:** John Lahad  
**Sent:** Monday, July 21, 2014 6:02 PM  
**To:** 'Lance Yang'; Andrea P Roberts; David Perlson  
**Cc:** Amanda Bonn; Justin A. Nelson; Alexander L. Kaplan; jrambin@capshawlaw.com; ederieux@capshawlaw.com; ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com; jw@wsfirm.com; claire@wsfirm.com; Kristin Malone; Parker Folse; Cyndi Obuz; John Dolan; Shawn Blackburn; QE-Google-Rockstar; James Mark Mann; Andy Tindel; Gregory Blake Thompson; Stacy Schulze; Tammie J. DeNio; Max L. Tribble  
**Subject:** RE: Rockstar v. Google

Lance,  
Thank you for your email. Google's proposal does not remedy its deficient disclosures or provide the required notice. Google has still chosen not to sufficiently identify any combinations, and the possible combinations remain unreasonably high. Accordingly, Rockstar does not agree to your proposal, and this issue is best resolved by the Court. If Google's position changes, let me know.  
Thanks,  
John

**John P. Lahad**  
**Susman Godfrey L.L.P.**  
713-653-7859 (office)  
713-725-3557 (mobile)  
713-654-6666 (fax)

---

**From:** Lance Yang [mailto:lanceyang@quinnemanuel.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 18, 2014 6:28 PM  
**To:** John Lahad; Andrea P Roberts; David Perlson  
**Cc:** Amanda Bonn; Justin A. Nelson; Alexander L. Kaplan; jrambin@capshawlaw.com; ederieux@capshawlaw.com; ccapshaw@capshawlaw.com; jw@wsfirm.com; claire@wsfirm.com; Kristin Malone; Parker Folse; Cyndi Obuz; John Dolan; Shawn Blackburn; QE-Google-Rockstar; James Mark Mann; Andy Tindel; Gregory Blake Thompson; Stacy Schulze; Tammie J. DeNio; Max L. Tribble  
**Subject:** RE: Rockstar v. Google

John,

Google understands that Rockstar is complaining about the number of combinations disclosed in Google's invalidity contentions, and not that Google has failed to identify and chart, on a element by element basis, each asserted combination. The basis for your allegation that the number of combinations is impermissible remains unclear. As Rockstar acknowledged during the July 10 in person conference, the local rules place no limit on the number of combinations. Google maintains the position that its invalidity contentions fully comply with the Court's Patent Rules.