

EXHIBIT 44

2013 Patent Litigation Study

Big cases make headlines, while
patent cases proliferate

1995-2012

Table of contents


Introduction	3
<hr/>	
Summary of key observations	5
Patent actions rise dramatically, set record high	6
Median damages award declines	7
NPE awards outpace practicing entities	7
The largest historical awards have rarely been upheld	8
Jury trials are favored overall	9
Patentees still winning with juries, and increasingly with bench	9
NPEs look to juries more often	10
However, discrepancy in use of juries has shrunk	10
Median jury awards substantially outpace the bench	10
Reasonable royalties are the most prevalent damages	11
Assessing success rate factors	12
Success rates: up for practicing entities, down for NPEs	12
Trial success rates: diverging results	12
Summary judgment impact on NPEs	13
Consumer products technology leads in decisions	13
Biotechnology and information technology cases on the rise	14
Median damages largest in telecommunications industry	15
NPE versus practicing entity damages vary widely by industry	16
Success rates by industry	17
Practicing entity versus NPE success rates by industry	18
Telecommunications and computer hardware/electronics industries lead in jury use	19
Majority of patent cases reach trial within three years	20
Median time-to-trial: approximately 2.5 years	21
Median damages rise with time-to-trial	22
Virginia Eastern, Wisconsin Western speediest in time-to-trial	22
Certain districts are more favorable to patent holders	23
Federal district courts with most NPE cases	24
Practicing entities and NPEs by the numbers	25
NPEs see variety in median damages and success rates	26
Individual NPEs experience lower success rates	26
Vast majority of NPE litigation involves company and individual NPEs	27
ANDA litigation trends upward	27
New Jersey and Delaware are favored ANDA districts	28
Historical ANDA success rates have varied significantly	28
Top ANDA litigants	29
Statistics by judge	30
Summary judgment statistics by judge	32
<hr/>	
Our methodology	34
Our authors	35

Median damages rise with time-to-trial

Chart 7c

Chart 7c reflects the direct relationship between the median damages award and the number of years to trial. Several factors might influence this relationship. Cases involving higher potential damages awards are more complex and, thus, take longer to reach trial. Also, increased time-to-trial provides a longer period over which sales can occur, thereby increasing the potential damages base.

Chart 7c. Median damages based on time-to-trial: 1995–2012



Median damages are adjusted for inflation and represented in 2012 US dollars.

The number of identified decisions is indicated within the respective column.

Virginia Eastern, Wisconsin Western speediest in time-to-trial

Chart 7d

Since 1995, significant variations have occurred in the median time-to-trial across jurisdictions. To assess the lead time, we focused on the most active districts. Chart 7d summarizes the median time-to-trial among these courts from 1995 to 2012. As indicated, the Virginia Eastern and Wisconsin Western districts boast

the shortest time-to-trial, which has been significantly lower than the next district or the median. The fastest five districts and overall median time-to-trial have remained consistent from our last study.

Chart 7d. Median time-to-trial by district from 1995–2012

Rank	District	Total # of identified decisions with time-to-trial data	In years
1	Virginia Eastern	22	0.97
2	Wisconsin Western	10	1.07
3	Florida Middle	15	1.74
4	Delaware	113	1.94
5	Texas Southern	11	2.00
6	Texas Eastern	85	2.19
7	California Central	29	2.34
8	Texas Northern	18	2.42
9	Florida Southern	15	2.50
10	Minnesota	12	2.66
11	New Jersey	27	2.70
12	California Northern	39	2.72
13	New York Southern	36	2.95
14	Massachusetts	25	3.63
15	Illinois Northern	35	3.67
Overall (all decisions identified)		685	2.35

Includes only the 15 most active districts for which time-to-trial data was available.