

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

JOHN AND MELLISA WALL,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MARS PETCARE US INC.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§


Case No. 2:14-cv-1164-JRG-RSP

ORDER

Plaintiffs, proceeding *pro se*, have not filed written objections to the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation *de novo* and finds that it should be **ADOPTED**. Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. No. 30) is hereby **GRANTED** and all claims against Defendant Mars Petcare US Inc. are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs shall take nothing as against Defendant. Defendant, as the prevailing party, shall recover its costs from Plaintiff. The Clerk is **ORDERED** to **CLOSE** the case. Any and all motions which are presently unresolved are hereby terminated as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 9th day of March, 2016.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE