

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

SEMCON IP INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

STMICROELECTRONICS INC.,

STMICROELECTRONICS N.V.,

Defendants.

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

Case No. 2:16-cv-00437-JRG-RSP (Lead)

Case No. 2:16-cv-00439-JRG-RSP (Member)


ORDER

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Payne’s recommendation to deny Semcon’s motion for summary judgment that the ’627 patent is not invalid in view of the Hitachi SH-4 reference. Dkt. No. 567. For dispositive matters referred to a magistrate judge, the district court must “determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). “The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommend disposition.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Having considered the recommendation de novo, and having reviewed Semcon’s objections thereto, the Court finds no reason to reject or modify the recommended disposition.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

- (1) Semcon’s objections, Dkt. No. 632, are **OVERRULED**.
- (2) The Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, Dkt. No. 567, is **ADOPTED**.
- (3) Semcon’s motion for partial summary judgment, Dkt. No. 301, is **DENIED**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 4th day of April, 2018.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE