Crow v. Colvin Doc. 16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	Case No. 2:16-cv-1237-JRG-RSP
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	\$\text{\tin}\text{\tint{\text{\tetx{\text{\tetx{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\\\ \ti}}}}}}}}}} \times\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texitilex{\text{\texitilex{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texitilex{\texitilex{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi}

JUDGMENT

Currently before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt. No. 14). Having reviewed the objections and finding them without merit, the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are adopted as those of the Court. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED and this action is dismissed.

So Ordered this

Mar 11, 2018

RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE