IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

§	
§	Case No. 2:17-cv-0450-JRG-RSP
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	\$\text{\tiny{\text{\tinx}\text{\tin}\text{\tetx}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texict{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texitile}\tinz{\text{\texitt{\text{\texi}\text{\texitilex{\tiinte\tant{\text{\texi}\texitilex{\tiint{\texitilex{\tiinte\tint{\texiti

JUDGMENT

Currently before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt. No. 23). No objections having been filed, the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are adopted as those of the Court. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the decision of the Commissioner is affirmed and this action is DISMISSED.

So Ordered this

Sep 10, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE