

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

UNILOC 2017 LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

*Defendant.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§


Case No. 2:18-cv-00493-JRG-RSP

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Report & Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Payne, Dkt. No. 232, which recommends that Defendant Google LLC’s (“Google”) Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Indefiniteness in View of the Claim Construction Order (“Motion”), Dkt. No. 195, be granted. Neither party filed an objection within the statutory time period. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2).

Because no objections have been filed and based on the Court's *de novo* review of the R&R, the R&R is **ADOPTED**. It is therefore **ORDERED** that claim 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,836,654 is found invalid as indefinite, and Plaintiff's claims of infringement based on claim 4 are dismissed.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 6th day of May, 2020.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE