

Defendant fails to point out how the Magistrate Judge erred in the report and merely recites the same arguments considered in the original briefing. The Magistrate Judge found that this was close case and the court agrees. However, the court finds no error in the Magistrate Judge's approach in this case, especially considering the lack of clarity in the case law. The court finds that the Magistrate Judge properly considered the issue.

Having received the amended report of the United States Magistrate Judge, and considering the objections thereto filed by defendant [Doc. #28], as well as all other relevant pleadings, this court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and adopts the Magistrate Judge's report as the findings and conclusions of the court.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that defendant's Motion to Dismiss Blind Ambitions Group's Claims Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) for lack of standing [Doc. #18] is **DENIED**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **22** day of **March, 2013**.



Ron Clark, United States District Judge