



monies to enrich themselves. See generally Dkt. #1. The complaint further alleges that Defendant Fort violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws, specifically Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. Id. at ¶¶ 3-4.

The SEC has reached a settlement with Defendant Fort (see Dkt. #28), and Defendant Fort has executed a consent (the "Consent") (Dkt. #28-1) representing that he: (1) was served with a summons and the complaint in this action; (2) enters a general appearance; (3) admits this Court's jurisdiction over him and the subject matter of this action; and (4) consents to the entry of final judgment without admitting or denying the allegations in the complaint (except as to jurisdiction and as otherwise provided in paragraph 12 of the Consent). See id. The Consent also represents that Defendant Fort consents to the entry of final judgment as set forth in the Consent (Dkt. #28-1) and in the proposed final judgment (Dkt. #28-2) submitted with the Motion. As set forth in the Motion (Dkt. #28) and its accompanying attachments, the SEC moves, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), for entry of final judgment and permanent injunctions against Defendant Fort. Among other things, the proposed final judgment seeks the issuance of permanent injunctions against the Defendant Fort. See id.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED** that the SEC's Unopposed Motion to Enter Agreed Partial Judgment against Defendant Fort (Dkt. #28), as set forth in the Consent (Dkt. #28-1) and the proposed final judgment (Dkt. #28-2), is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**SIGNED this 28th day of August, 2018.**

  
AMOS L. MAZZANT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE