IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

§

§ § §

RANDAL LEE THOMPSON	
v.	
DANIEL STIEF, ET AL.	

CIVIL N0. 4:22-CV-742-SDJ-BD

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Came on for consideration the report of the United States Magistrate Judge in this action, this matter having been heretofore referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On August 28, 2024, the Magistrate Judge entered proposed findings of fact and recommendations, (Dkt. #17), that Defendants Daniel Stief and Keith Bartlett's Motion to Dismiss, (Dkt. #10), be denied as moot and Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution, (Dkt. #13), be granted.

Having received the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge, and no timely objections being filed, the Court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and adopts the Magistrate Judge's report as the findings and conclusions of the Court.

It is therefore **ORDERED** the Motion to Dismiss, (Dkt. #10), is **DENIED AS MOOT** and the Motion to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution, (Dkt. #13), is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, Plaintiff Randal Lee Thompson's claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

1

All relief not previously granted is **DENIED**. The Clerk is directed to **CLOSE** this civil action.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 3rd day of January, 2025.

SEAN D. JORDAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE