

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION

TERRY HUGHES §
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:12cv64
LT. CRAIG NORMAN, ET AL. §

MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Terry Hughes, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

On May 2, 2013, Hughes filed a motion asking that his lawsuit be dismissed. On May 3, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the motion to dismiss be granted and the lawsuit dismissed without prejudice. A copy of this Report was sent to Hughes at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been filed thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918, 109 S.Ct. 3243

(1989) (where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law."). It is accordingly


ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 35) is hereby ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's motion for voluntary dismissal (docket no. 34) is GRANTED and the above-styled civil action be and hereby is DISMISSED without prejudice on the motion of the Plaintiff. It is further

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby DENIED.

It is SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 29th day of May, 2013.


MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE