



Rowe did not file objections *per se* to the Magistrate Judge's Report. On August 21, 2013, Rowe filed a "supplemental complaint," and August 26, 2013, he filed a "motion for judgment." Both of these pleadings simply repeat the allegations of the original complaint; Rowe states he fell down the stairs and was taken to the hospital, where he was examined and received a prescription for pain medication which was not filled. He refers to the disciplinary case which was dropped and states he wants all of his medical bills paid and \$10,000.00 in damages. Even construing the supplemental complaint and the motion for judgment as objections to the Report, neither of these show the Magistrate Judge's findings or conclusions were in error.

The Court has conducted a careful *de novo* review of the pleadings in this case, including the original and amended complaints, the Report of the Magistrate Judge, and the Plaintiff's supplemental complaint and motion for judgment, which have been construed as objections to the Report. Upon such *de novo* review, the Court has concluded that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct and the Plaintiff's objections are without merit. It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Plaintiff's objections are overruled and the Report of the Magistrate Judge (docket no. 8) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action is hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall send a copy of this opinion to the Administrator of the Three Strikes List for the Eastern District of Texas. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

**It is SO ORDERED.**

**SIGNED this 22nd day of October, 2013.**



---

MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE