

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION

ADVANCEME, INC.,
Plaintiff,

v.

RAPIDPAY LLC, FIRST FUNDS LLC,
MERCHANT MONEY TREE, INC.,
REACH FINANCIAL, LLC, and
FAST TRANSACT, INC. d/b/a
SIMPLE CASH,
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL CASE NO. 6:05-cv-424 (LED-JDL)

ADVANCEME, INC.,
Plaintiff,

v.

AMERIMERCHANT, LLC,
Defendant.

CIVIL CASE NO. 6:06-cv-082 (LED-JDL)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF ADVANCEME, INC.'S OBJECTION TO
EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE OFFERED BY DEFENDANTS
IN SUPPORT OF THEIR RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

Plaintiff AdvanceMe, Inc. ("AdvanceMe") hereby objects to Exhibits H (Excerpt of
06/28/06 Deposition Testimony of Barbara Johnson), J (Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary
entry for "input/output device"), K (Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary entry for "modem"),
and L (Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary entry for "hardwired") that were submitted with
Defendants' Responsive Claim Construction Brief (see Docket Entries 117 (RapidPay) and 54
(AmeriMerchant)) on the grounds that Merchant Money Tree, Inc., Reach Financial, LLC, First
Funds, LLC, and AmeriMerchant, LLC (collectively, "Defendants") failed to disclose this
extrinsic evidence to AdvanceMe prior to the date of AdvanceMe's Opening Brief, as required
by P.R. 4-2 and 4-3.

///

///

P.R. 4-2(b) provides:

[a]t the same time the parties exchange their respective “Preliminary Claim Constructions, they shall also provide a preliminary identification of extrinsic evidence, including without limitation, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses they contend support their respective claim constructions. The parties shall identify each such item of extrinsic evidence by production number or produce a copy of any such item not previously produced. With respect to any such witness, percipient or expert, the parties shall also provide a brief description of the substance of that witness’ proposed testimony.

P.R. 4-2(b). Defendants did not disclose Exhibits H, J, K, or L to AdvanceMe when the parties exchanged their respective Preliminary Claim Constructions, nor during the meet and confer process required by P.R. 4-2(c).

P.R. 4-3(b) further provides that:

the parties shall complete and file a Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement...which shall contain...each party’s proposed construction of each disputed claim term, phrase, or clause, together with an identification of...any extrinsic evidence known to the party on which it intends to rely either to support its proposed construction of the claim or to oppose any other party’s proposed construction of the claim, including but not limited to, as permitted by law, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses.

P.R. 4-3(b). Defendants did not disclose Exhibits H, J, K, or L to AdvanceMe when the parties filed their Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement. See Docket Entries 86 (*RapidPay*) and 32 (*AmeriMerchant*). Further, when Defendants submitted their portion of the Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement to the Court, they did not identify any of this extrinsic evidence in their claim charts (see *id.*), and affirmatively represented to AdvanceMe that they did not have any such extrinsic evidence to disclose.

One of the purposes of this Court’s local Patent Rules is to require the parties to fully disclose any extrinsic evidence they intend to rely upon so that the parties can effectively address the relevant evidence in their claim construction briefing. Rather than abiding by the local Patent Rules, Defendants sandbagged AdvanceMe by waiting until after AdvanceMe submitted its Opening Claim Construction Brief before disclosing – for the first time – the extrinsic evidence

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3). Any other counsel of record or parties will be served by facsimile transmission and/or first class mail this 10th day of October, 2006.

/s/

Rosemary Jones-Shine