

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 TYLER DIVISION

ADVANCEME, INC.

§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 6:06cv82
 Honorable Judge Leonard Davis

v.

AMERIMERCHANT LLC

DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the following schedule of deadlines is in effect until further order of this Court:

<p>January 14, 2008</p> <p>Court designated date - not flexible without good cause - Motion required</p>	<p>9:00 a.m. JURY TRIAL as reached at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, Tyler, Texas.</p> <p>EXHIBITS & EXHIBIT LISTS: Each party is requested to provide the Court with an original and two courtesy copies of exhibits and exhibits lists. The Court’s preferred format for Exhibit Lists is available on the Court’s website at www.txed.uscourts.gov under “Judges’ Orders & Information.”</p> <p>If exhibits are voluminous, provide only specific pages that pertain to the issues on the two courtesy copies. The original exhibits that are agreed upon by the parties, should be ready to be tendered to the Clerk of the Court at the beginning of trial. Other exhibits that are admitted during trial should be tendered to the Clerk of the Court immediately after admission.</p> <p>The parties are further requested to have all exhibits labeled with the following information on each label: Designation of Plaintiff’s or Defendant’s Exhibit Number and Case Number. For example:</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>Plaintiff’s Exhibit</p> <p>Exhibit No. _____</p> <p>Case No. _____</p> </div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>Defendant’s Exhibit</p> <p>Exhibit No. _____</p> <p>Case No. _____</p> </div> </div>
<p>January 7, 2008</p> <p>Court designated date - not flexible without good cause - Motion Required</p>	<p>9: 00 a.m. JURY SELECTION at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, Tyler, Texas.</p>

December 20, 2007 Court designated date - not flexible without good cause - Motion Required	9:00 a.m. PRETRIAL CONFERENCE at the United States District Court, 211 W. Ferguson, 2nd Floor, Courtroom of Judge John Love, Tyler, Texas.
December 18, 2007	Parties to file estimates of the amount of time they request at jury selection and trial for (1) voir dire, (2) opening statements, (3) direct and cross-examination, and (4) closing arguments.
December 17, 2007	Motions in Limine due. The parties are directed to confer and advise the Court on or before 3:00 o'clock p.m. the day before the pre-trial conference which paragraphs are agreed to and those that need to be addressed at the pre-trial conference.
December 17, 2007	Pretrial Objections due.
November 30, 2007	Objections to Rebuttal Deposition Testimony due.
November 26 2007	Rebuttal Designations and Objections to Deposition Testimony due. For rebuttal designations, cross examination line and page numbers to be included.
November 15, 2007	Pretrial Disclosures due. Video and Stenographic Deposition Designations due. Each party who proposes to offer deposition testimony shall file a disclosure identifying the line and page numbers to be offered. In video depositions, each party is responsible for preparation of the final edited video in accordance with their parties 'designations and the Court 's rulings on objections.
October 26, 2007	Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions with citation to authority, and Form of the Verdict for jury trials. Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law with citation to authority for bench trials. Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting of Court Proceedings. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial or hearings, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shea Sloan, at shea_sloan@txed.uscourts.gov..
August 29, 2007	Response to Dispositive Motions (including <i>Daubert</i> motions). Responses to dispositive motions filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline, including <i>Daubert</i> Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e).
August 14, 2007	Dispositive Motions due from all parties and any other motions that may require a hearing (including <i>Daubert</i> motions); Motion for Summary Judgement shall comply with Local Rule CV56.
August 10, 2007	Parties to Identify Rebuttal Trial Witnesses.

July 31, 2007	Parties to Identify Trial Witnesses; Amend Pleadings (after Markman Hearing). It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the deadline. However, except as provided in Patent Rule 3-6, if the amendment would effect preliminary or final infringement contentions or preliminary or final invalidity contentions, a motion must be made pursuant to Patent Rule 3-7 irrespective of whether the amendment is made prior to this deadline.
July 17, 2007	Discovery Deadline.
June 18, 2007	Parties designate responsive expert witnesses (non-construction issues). Expert witness reports due. Refer to Local Rules for required information.
June 7, 2007	Parties with burden of proof designate expert witnesses (non-construction issues). Expert witness reports due. Refer to Local Rules for required information.
May 8, 2007	Comply with P. R. 3-8 -Furnishing documents and privilege logs pertaining to willful infringement.
October 19, 2006	Markman Hearing at 9:30 a.m. at the United States District Court, 211 West Ferguson, 2nd Floor, Courtroom of Judge John Love, Tyler, Texas.
October 11, 2006	Parties shall jointly submit a claim construction chart on computer disk in WordPerfect format or in such other format as the Court may direct in accordance with P. R. 4-5(d).
October 9, 2006	Comply with P. R. 4-5(c) -Reply brief and supporting evidence due. The moving party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their reply brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor. Parties to file a notice with the Court stating the estimated amount of time requested for the Markman Hearing. The Court will notify the parties if it is unable to accommodate this request.
September 27, 2006	Comply with P. R. 4-5(b) -Responsive brief and supporting evidence due to party claiming patent infringement. The moving party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their Markman brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their Markman brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.
September 13, 2006	Comply with P. R. 4-5(a) -The party claiming patent infringement shall serve and file an opening brief and any evidence supporting its claim construction. The moving party is to provide the Court with 2 binders containing their Markman brief and exhibits appropriately tabbed. If a technical advisor has been appointed the moving party is to provide their Markman brief on disk or CD along with a hard copy, tabbed and bound in notebook format with exhibits to the advisor.
September 6, 2006	Deadline for parties, if they desire, to provide Court with tutorials on CD or DVD with slide printouts concerning technology involved in patent. If a technical advisor has been appointed, each party that provides a tutorial is requested to provide a copy to the advisor.

September 8, 2006	Discovery Deadline - Claim Construction Issues.
August 28, 2006	Respond to Amended Pleadings.
August 25, 2006	Parties are to provide name, address, phone number, and curriculum vitae for three (3) technical advisors and information regarding the nominees' availability for Markman hearing or a statement that they could not reach an agreement as to any potential technical advisor.
August 22, 2006	Amended Pleadings (pre-claim construction) due from all parties. It is not necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend before the deadline to amend pleadings. It is necessary to file a Motion for Leave to Amend after the deadline. However, if the amendment would affect preliminary infringement contentions or preliminary invalidity contentions, a motion must be made pursuant to Patent Rule 3-7 irrespective of whether the amendment is made prior to this deadline.
August 2, 2006	Comply with P. R. 4-3 -Filing of Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.
July 28, 2006	Comply with P. R. 4-2 -Exchange of Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence. Privilege Logs to be exchanged by parties (or a letter to the Court stating that there are no disputes as to claims of privileged documents).
July 20, 2006	Comply with P. R. 4-1 - Exchange Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction.
July 20, 2006	Comply with P.R. 3-3 -Preliminary Invalidity Contentions due. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add and/or amend invalidity contentions, pursuant to Patent Rule 3-7. Defendant shall join additional parties. After this deadline, leave of Court must be obtained to join additional parties. Defendant shall assert any counterclaims. After this deadline, leave of Court must be obtained to assert any counterclaims. Add any inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings. After this deadline, leave of Court must be obtained, to add inequitable conduct allegations to pleadings.
July 20, 2006	Comply with P. R. 3-1 and P.R. 3-2 - Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions due. Thereafter, it is necessary to obtain leave of Court to add and/or amend infringement contentions, pursuant to Patent Rule 3-7. Plaintiff shall join additional parties. After this deadline, leave of Court must be obtained to join additional parties. Plaintiff shall add new patents and/or claims for patents-in-suit. After this deadline, leave of Court must be obtained to assert any counterclaims.
October 1, 2006	Mediation to be completed. James W. Knowles is appointed as mediator in this cause. The mediator shall be deemed to have agreed to the terms of Court Ordered Mediation Plan of the United States District Court of the Eastern District of Texas by going forth with the mediation in accordance with this order. General Order 99-2.

4 Days	EXPECTED LENGTH OF TRIAL
---------------	---------------------------------

In the event that any of these dates fall on a weekend or Court holiday, the deadline is modified to be the next Court business day.

The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that "[i]n the event a party fails to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein the Court will assume that the party has no opposition." Local Rule CV-7(e) provides that a party opposing a motion has **15 days** in which to serve and file supporting documents and briefs after which the Court will consider the submitted motion for decision.

OTHER LIMITATIONS

- (a) All depositions to be read into evidence as part of the parties' case-in-chief shall be EDITED so as to exclude all unnecessary, repetitious, and irrelevant testimony; ONLY those portions which are relevant to the issues in controversy shall be read into evidence.
- (b) The Court will refuse to entertain any motion to compel discovery filed after the date of this Order unless the movant advises the Court within the body of the motion that counsel for the parties have first conferred in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter. See The Eastern District of Texas Local Rule CV-7(h).
- (c) The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:
 - (i) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
 - (ii) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;
 - (iii) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 5th day of July, 2006.



 JOHN D. LOVE
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE