

Exhibit 1

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

MIRROR WORLDS, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 6:08-CV-88 LED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

APPLE INC.

Counterclaim Plaintiff

v.

MIRROR WORLDS, LLC,
MIRROR WORLDS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

MIRROR WORLDS' PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge.

I. INFRINGEMENT OF THE MIRROR WORLDS' PATENTS

A. Did Mirror Worlds prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the listed claims of the '227 Patent were infringed?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed claim:

- Claim 13** _____
- Claim 14** _____
- Claim 15** _____
- Claim 16** _____
- Claim 17** _____
- Claim 20** _____
- Claim 22** _____

B. Did Mirror Worlds prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the listed claims of the '313 Patent were infringed?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed claim:

- Claim 1** _____
- Claim 2** _____

Claim 3 _____
Claim 4 _____
Claim 9 _____
Claim 10 _____
Claim 11 _____

C. Did Mirror Worlds prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the listed claims of the '427 Patent were infringed?

Answer “Yes” or “No” for each listed claim:

Claim 1 _____
Claim 2 _____
Claim 5 _____
Claim 7 _____
Claim 8 _____
Claim 9 _____
Claim 10 _____
Claim 13 _____
Claim 15 _____
Claim 16 _____
Claim 17 _____
Claim 18 _____

- Claim 19 _____
- Claim 22 _____
- Claim 24 _____
- Claim 25 _____
- Claim 26 _____
- Claim 29 _____
- Claim 31 _____
- Claim 32 _____
- Claim 33 _____
- Claim 34 _____
- Claim 37 _____
- Claim 39 _____

D. Did Mirror Worlds prove by a preponderance of the evidence that claim 1 of the '999 Patent was infringed?

Answer "Yes" or "No" _____

II. Invalidity of the Mirror Worlds' Patents

A. Did Apple prove by clear and convincing evidence that the listed claims of the '227 Patent were invalid for any of the following reasons?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed claim without "N/A":

1. Anticipation by Prior Art

2. Obviousness

3. Lack of Adequate Written Description

	1. Anticipation by Prior Art	2. Obviousness	3. Lack of Adequate Written Description
Claim 13	_____	_____	_____
Claim 14	_____	_____	_____
Claim 15	_____	_____	_____
Claim 16	_____	_____	_____
Claim 17	_____	_____	_____
Claim 20	_____	_____	_____
Claim 22	_____	_____	_____

B. Did Apple prove by clear and convincing evidence that the listed claims of the '313 Patent were invalid for any of the following reasons?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed claim:

	1. Anticipation by Prior Art	2. Obviousness	3. Lack of Adequate Written Description
Claim 1	_____	_____	_____
Claim 2	_____	_____	_____
Claim 3	_____	_____	_____
Claim 4	_____	_____	_____
Claim 9	_____	_____	_____
Claim 10	_____	_____	_____
Claim 11	_____	_____	_____

C. Did Apple prove by clear and convincing evidence that the listed claims of the '427 Patent were invalid for any of the following reasons?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed claim without "N/A":

	1. Anticipation by Prior Art	2. Obviousness	3. Lack of Adequate Written Description
Claim 1	_____	_____	_____
Claim 2	_____	_____	_____
Claim 5	_____	_____	_____
Claim 7	_____	_____	_____
Claim 8	_____	_____	_____
Claim 9	_____	_____	_____
Claim 10	_____	_____	_____
Claim 13	_____	_____	_____
Claim 15	_____	_____	_____
Claim 16	_____	_____	N/A
Claim 17	_____	_____	_____
Claim 18	_____	_____	_____
Claim 19	_____	_____	_____
Claim 22	_____	_____	_____
Claim 24	_____	_____	_____
Claim 25	_____	_____	N/A
Claim 26	_____	_____	_____

	1. Anticipation by Prior Art	2. Obviousness	3. Lack of Adequate Written Description
Claim 29	_____	_____	_____
Claim 31	_____	_____	_____
Claim 32	_____	_____	N/A
Claim 33	_____	_____	N/A
Claim 34	_____	_____	N/A
Claim 37	_____	_____	_____
Claim 39	_____	_____	_____

D. Did Apple prove by clear and convincing evidence that the listed claims of the '999 Patent were invalid for any of the following reasons?

Answer “Yes” or “No” for each listed claim without “N/A”:

	1. Anticipation by Prior Art	2. Obviousness	3. Lack of Adequate Written Description
Claim 1	_____	_____	_____

If you found in Questions I. A through D that Mirror Worlds proved that Apple infringed at least one claim of the Mirror Worlds Patents (a “Yes” answer to any claim), and you found in Questions II. A through D that Apple did not prove that all of the listed claims were invalid (a “No” answer to any claim), then answer Questions III and IV. Otherwise skip to Question V.

III. WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT OF MIRROR WORLDS’ PATENTS

A. Did Mirror Worlds prove by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's infringement was willful?

Answer "Yes" or "No" _____

IV. DAMAGES FOR APPLE'S INFRINGEMENT OF MIRROR WORLDS' PATENTS

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find should be awarded to Mirror Worlds as damages adequate to compensate it for the valid patent claims you have found to have been infringed?

Answer: \$ _____

V. INFRINGEMENT OF THE APPLE PATENT

A. Did Apple prove by a preponderance of the evidence that MWT infringed the listed claims of the Apple Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each listed claim:

- Claim 1 _____
- Claim 2 _____
- Claim 3 _____
- Claim 4 _____
- Claim 5 _____
- Claim 6 _____

Claim 7	_____
Claim 8	_____
Claim 9	_____
Claim 10	_____
Claim 11	_____
Claim 12	_____

VI. INVALIDITY OF THE APPLE PATENT

A. Did MWT prove by clear and convincing evidence that the listed claims of the Apple Patent were invalid for any of the following reasons?

Answer “Yes” or “No” for each listed claim without “N/A”:

	1. Anticipation by Prior Art	2. Obviousness
Claim 1	_____	_____
Claim 2	_____	_____
Claim 3	_____	_____
Claim 4	_____	_____
Claim 5	_____	_____
Claim 6	_____	_____
Claim 7	_____	_____
Claim 8	_____	_____
Claim 9	_____	_____
Claim 10	_____	_____

Claim 11 _____
Claim 12 _____

VII. Laches and Estoppel

A. Did MWT prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple was not entitled to damages before filing its counterclaim because of Laches? _____

B. Did MWT prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple was not entitled to damages because of Equitable Estoppel? _____

C. Did MWT prove by clear and convincing evidence that Apple was not entitled to damages because of Waiver? _____

If you found in Question V that Apple proved that the Mirror Worlds Parties infringed at least one claim of the Apple Patent (a “Yes” to any claim), and you found in Questions VI that MWT did not prove that all of the listed claims were invalid (a “No” to any claim) and you found in Questions VII that MWT did not prove Laches, Estoppel or Waiver (a “No” to both questions) then answer Questions VIII and IX. Otherwise you are finished, and the foreperson should sign and date the last page of this Verdict Form.

VIII. WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT OF APPLE’S PATENT

A. Did Apple prove by clear and convincing evidence that MWT’s infringement was willful?

Answer “Yes” or “No” _____

IX. DAMAGES FOR MWT INFRINGEMENT OF APPLE'S PATENT

A. What date did you determine damages for infringement of the Apple Patent should begin?

Date: _____

B. What sum of money , if paid now in cash, do you find should be awarded to Apple as damages adequate to compensate it for the valid patent claims you have found to have been infringed?

Answer: _____

Signed this ____ day of September, 2010:

JURY FOREPERSON