## Patricia L. Peden

From:

Patricia L. Peden [ppeden@pedenlawfirm.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, November 26, 2008 2:36 PM

To: Cc: 'Babcock, Chip': 'Parker, Crystal' 'Nick Patton': 'James Holmes'

Importance:

High

## Crystal:

I write in response to your call to me about 5 minutes ago stating that Cisco intends to re-file its motion to compel interrogatory responses to change the relief sought from asking us to give more complete responses to asking that we be limited to our responses as filed. As I explained your changed motion is materially different than the first. We didn't have an opportunity to meet and confer before your first motion was filed, and we certainly have not had the opportunity to do so in light of your intended new motion. However, in order to give us a chance to resolve the issue without Court intervention, we agree to provide you with an amended interrogatory response. Consequently, your motion is unnecessary. I am leaving for the holiday weekend and am happy to discuss this issue with you again early next week.

Regards, Patricia

Patricia Peden
Law Offices of Patricia L. Peden
5901 Christie Ave, Suite 201
Emeryville, CA 94608
510-268-8033
ppeden@pedenlawfirm.com

This email and any attachments may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this email is prohibited. If you receive this email in error, please notify us by reply mail and immediately destroy all copies of this communication.

