

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

ERIC M. ALBRITTON,

Plaintiff

v.

**CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. RICHARD
FRENKEL, MAULLUN YEN and
JOHN NOH,**

Defendant

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

**No. 6:08cv00089
JURY**

CISCO’S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF NON-WAIVER ORDER

TO THE HONORABLE DISTRICT JUDGE:

Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) hereby moves for entry of a non-waiver order pursuant to Rule 502 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

The parties to this litigation have agreed that the production of any documents protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrines under the protective order in this case does not waive the privilege with respect to that or any other documents. (See Exhibit A). A Protective Order has already been entered in this case to deal with privileged and work-product materials.

Cisco seeks an order from the Court that use of privileged and work-product documents in this case does not waive the privilege with respect to any non-parties. The parties to this litigation have already agreed that the production of documents does not waive privilege. (Exhibit A). Therefore, this Order would make that agreement binding on non-parties pursuant to Rule 502 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Pursuant to Rule 502, a Federal court may order that privilege or work-product protection is not waived by disclosure in connection with the litigation before the court and that the disclosure is not a waiver in any other Federal or State proceeding. Accordingly, Cisco seeks an order that the use of its privileged and work-product material in this case is not a waiver in this or any other Federal or State proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.

By: */s/ Charles L. Babcock*

Charles L. Babcock
Federal Bar No.: 10982
Email: cbabcock@jw.com
Crystal J. Parker
Federal Bar No.: 621142
Email: cparker@jw.com
1401 McKinney
Suite 1900
Houston, Texas 77010
(713) 752-4200
(713) 752-4221 – Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

Defendants attempted to reach an agreement regarding this Motion by discussion of the Motion in a telephone conference and a follow-up email on February 26, 2009, but have been advised by counsel for the Plaintiff that the Motion is opposed.

/s/ Charles L. Babcock

Charles L. Babcock

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 26th day of February, 2009, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via electronic mail upon:

George L. McWilliams
406 Walnut
P.O. Box 58
Texarkana, Texas 75504-0058
Attorney for Defendant Richard Frenkel

James A. Holmes
605 South Main Street, Suite 203
Henderson, Texas 75654
Attorney for Plaintiff Eric Albritton

Patricia L. Peden
Law Offices of Patricia L. Peden
5901 Christie Avenue
Suite 201
Emeryville, CA 94608
Attorney for Plaintiff Eric Albritton

Nicholas H. Patton
Patton, Tidwell & Schroeder, LLP
4605 Texas Boulevard
P.O. Box 5398
Texarkana, Texas 75505-5398
Attorney for Plaintiff Eric Albritton

/s/ Charles L. Babcock

Charles L. Babcock