EXHIBIT "H"

Page 1

CERTIFIED COPY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

TYLER DIVISION

ERIC M. ALBRITTON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

* C.A. NO. 6:08-CV-00089

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., RICK *
FRENKEL, MALLUN YEN & *
JOHN NOH, *

Defendants.

ORAL DEPOSITION OF

ERIC M. ALBRITTON

OCTOBER 27TH, 2008

ORAL DEPOSITION OF ERIC ALBRITTON, produced as a witness at the instance of the CLAIMANT, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 27th of October, 2008, from 12:44 p.m. to 4:24 p.m., before Tammy Staggs, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the Law Offices of James A. Holmes, 605 South Main, Suite 203, Henderson, Texas, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.

EXHIBIT H

Page 6



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

PROCEEDINGS

(Exhibits 21A - 63 marked)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the videotape deposition of Eric Albritton in the matter of Eric M. Albritton vs. Cisco Systems, Inc., Rick Frenkel, et al. Case No. 6:08CV00089. Today's date is October 27th of 2008. The time is approximately 12:44 p.m. Now on the record.

ERIC ALBRITTON,

having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BABCOCK:

- Q. Would you state your name, sir.
- A. Eric Albritton.
- Q. Mr. Albritton, here is Exhibit 21A. I just like to start each deposition with a notice. Obviously you're here, so there's no question about that.

What -- how are you employed?

- A. I'm a lawyer.
 - Q. And do you practice with a firm?
- 21 A. I do.
 - Q. What's the name of the firm?
- A. Eric M. Albritton, PC.
 - Q. And PC stands for professional corporation,

25 | correct?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 A. Presumptively.
 - Q. Because you were against the patent reform effort, correct?
 - A. Some aspects of it, yes.
 - Q. Particularly the aspects dealing with venue, right?
 - A. I certainly do not support the venue provision.
 - Q. And so we're on the same page, the venue provision would have made the filing of patent suits in the Eastern District of Texas not as easy to do, harder to do, right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. Okay. When did you begin your lobbying effort?
 - A. Yeah, I mean, that's really -- I'm not fussing with you, but that's a little bit of a -- you know, not fully accurate characterization. I'm sort of tagging along, but I don't remember the first time I went up there with Sam.
 - Q. Okay. Was that the first time you did it when you went to Sam up to Washington?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And was that in 2008 or before 2008?
 - A. I don't recall, Mr. Babcock.





I don't recall. I don't believe so, but I'm

Okay. Was this patent reform effort -- and

- 1
- 0. Was it before the ESN lawsuit?
- 2

3

- not sure. It may have been. I frankly just don't recall.
- 4

5

0. Okay.

0.

Α.

- 6
- Maybe before and after, I don't know. Α.
- 7
- 8
- 9 where you can bring a lawsuit -- was that something that

it doesn't matter. Is that something that was being

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- specifically focusing on the venue part of it, that is was being discussed in the public media? I seem remember a New York Times article about it. But anyway
 - Α. I don't recall.

discussed in the public media?

- Are you aware that some members of the Bar 0. across the country felt that -- that the Eastern District of Texas was -- was an unfair venue or put defendants at a disadvantage in some -- in some way?
 - I don't know what other people think. Α.
- Are you aware that Justice Scalea from the 0. bench called the Eastern District a renegade district?
 - Α. I recall something about that, yes.
 - Okay. What do you recall about that? Q.
 - Just exactly what you said. Α.
 - Do you know if that -- if that got any Q.

Page 159 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 3 TYLER DIVISION 4 ERIC M. ALBRITTON, 5 Plaintiff, 6 VS. * C.A. NO. 6:08-CV-00089 7 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., RICK FRENKEL, MALLUN YEN & 8 JOHN NOH, Defendants. 9 10 11 12 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION DEPOSITION OF ERIC ALBRITTON 13 14 OCTOBER 27TH, 2008 15 16 I, TAMMY LEA STAGGS, Certified Shorthand Reporter in 17 and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the 18 following: 19 That the witness, ERIC ALBRITTON, was duly sworn by 20 the officer and that the transcript of the oral 21 deposition is a true record of the testimony given by 22 the witness; 23 That the deposition transcript was submitted on 24 to the witness or to the attorney 25 for the witness for examination, signature and return to



Page 160 1 me by 2 That the amount of time used by each party at the 3 deposition is as follows: Mr. James A. Holmes - (0:00) 4 5 Mr. Charles L. Babcock - (2:38) 6 Mr. George L. McWilliams - (0:35) 7 8 That pursuant to information given to the deposition 9 officer at the time said testimony was taken, the 10 following includes counsel for all parties of record: 11 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: James A. Holmes, Esq. 12 FOR THE DEFENDANT, CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.: 13 Charles L. Babcock, Esq. 14 FOR THE DEFENDANT, RICHARD FRENKEL: George L. McWilliams, Esq. 15 Nicole Peavy 16 17 18 19 20 21 That \$ is the deposition officer's charges 22 23 to the Defendant, Cisco Systems, for preparing the 24 original deposition transcript and any copies of 25 exhibits:

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was Tammy
Expirati
Firm No. L
HGCLitigatic
2501 Oak Lawn
Suite 600
Dallas, Texas 752
214/521.1188 Fax
1.888(656.DEPO taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Certified to by me this 31st of October, 2008.

Tammy Lea Staggs, CSR 7496

Expiration Date: 12/31/2009

Barry No Dallas: 69 Houston: 37

Houston: 373 HG Litigation Services

214.521.1034

15 16

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

25