

Rick Frenkel <trolltracker@gmail.com>

and the second second

## Docket alteration in ESN v. Cisco

## Barclay, Michael <MBarclay@wsgr.com>

Thu, Oct 18, 2007 at 2:46 PM To: trolitracker@gmail.com, "Crouch, Dennis D." <crouchdd@missouri.edu>, dcrouch@gmail.com

An astonishing post by PTT about the docket alteration in ESN v. Cisco. I had heard about this case early Tuesday morning, the 16th, when my marketing people distributed a copy of the complaint \*\*and\*\* the correct/original docket, showing the filing the previous day, Oct. 15. See the attached, in case you don't have a copy of what the docket looked like at that time.

Sure enough, I checked the docket today, and the date for item 1 (the complaint) has been changed from Oct. 15 to Oct. 16. Unfortunately, someone forgot to change the notation at the very top of the docket sheet: it still says:

"Date Filed: 10/15/2007"

Both docket sheets are attached. I also have the original complaint, with the Oct. 15 date stamp, if you need it (the file size is 5MB so it might not pass through your email server).

Thanks for reporting this. Patent venue reform, anyone?

Michael Barclay mbarclay@wsgr.com (650) 320-4849 <<ESN v. Cisco Original Docket\_with correct date.pdf>> <<ESN v. Cisco Docket\_with phony date.pdf>>

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

## 2 attachments

12 82K ESN v. Cisco Original Docket\_with correct date.pdf

ESN v. Cisco Docket\_with phony date.pdf 16K

**FRENKEL.000012** 

EXHIBIT