## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BEDROCK COMPUTER	§	
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CASE NO. 6:09-cv-269
v.	§	
	§	<b>Jury Trial Demanded</b>
SOFTLAYER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	§	
et al.	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

# PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT PAYPAL, INC.'S ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND COUNTERCLAIMS TO BEDROCK'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Bedrock Computer Technologies LLC ("Bedrock") files this Reply to Defendant PayPal, Inc.'s ("PayPal") Answer, Affirmative Defenses, and Counterclaims to Bedrock's First Amended Complaint, served on November 13, 2009 (Dkt. No. 111). All allegations not expressly admitted are denied. The first set of paragraphs marked 1-22 of PayPal's Answer do not require a response. Bedrock incorporates by reference the allegations in its First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 102) in response to each and every of PayPal's Affirmative Defenses.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

23. Paragraph 23 does not require a response.

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of PayPal's First Affirmative Defense.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

25. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of PayPal's Second Affirmative Defense.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 26 of PayPal's Third Affirmative Defense.

## FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

27. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of PayPal's Fourth Affirmative Defense.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

28. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of PayPal's Fifth Affirmative Defense.

## **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

29. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of PayPal's Sixth Affirmative Defense.

## **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

30. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of PayPal's Seventh Affirmative Defense.

#### **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

31. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of PayPal's Eighth Affirmative Defense.

#### **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

32. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of PayPal's Ninth Affirmative Defense.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

33. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of PayPal's Tenth Affirmative Defense.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

34. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of PayPal's Eleventh Affirmative Defense.

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

35. Bedrock denies all allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of PayPal's Twelfth Affirmative Defense.

## RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIMS

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Bedrock admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of PayPal's Counterclaims.
- 2. Bedrock admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of PayPal's Counterclaims.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. Bedrock admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction as alleged in Paragraph 3 of PayPal's Counterclaims. Bedrock admits that an actual and justiciable controversy exists between Bedrock and PayPal with respect to the validity and infringement of the '120 patent, but denies that PayPal is entitled to any relief requested.

- 4. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 4 of PayPal's Counterclaims.
- 5. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 5 of PayPal's Counterclaims.

## **DECLARATORY RELIEF CLAIM**

- 6. Bedrock repeats and realleges each of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1-5 as if fully set forth herein.
- 7. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 7 of PayPal's Declaratory Relief Claim.
- 8. Bedrock denies the allegations of Paragraph 8 of PayPal's Declaratory Relief Claim.
- 9. Bedrock denies the allegations of Paragraph 9 of PayPal's Declaratory Relief Claim.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Bedrock incorporates by reference the Prayer for Relief set forth in Bedrock's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement. Bedrock denies that PayPal is entitled to any relief.

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Bedrock hereby demands that all issues be determined by jury.

DATED: December 2, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

## McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

\_/s/ Douglas A. Cawley\_

Sam F. Baxter

Texas Bar No. 01938000

McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com

104 E. Houston Street, Suite 300

P.O. Box 0

Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: (903) 923-9000

Facsimile: (903) 923-9099

Douglas A. Cawley, Lead Attorney

Texas Bar No. 04035500

dcawley@mckoolsmith.com

Theodore Stevenson, III

Texas Bar No. 19196650

tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com

J. Austin Curry

Texas Bar No. 24059636

acurry@mckoolsmith.com

#### McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: 214-978-4000

Facsimile: 214-978-4044

Robert M. Parker

Texas Bar No. 15498000

Robert Christopher Bunt

Texas Bar No. 00787165

## PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.

100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114

Tyler, Texas 75702

Telephone: 903-531-3535

Facsimile: 903-533-9687

1 acsillile. 903-333-9067

E-mail: <a href="mailto:rmparker@pbatyler.com">rmparker@pbatyler.com</a>
E-mail: <a href="mailto:rcbunt@pbatyler.com">rcbunt@pbatyler.com</a>

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF BEDROCK COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service on this, the 2nd day of December, 2009. Local Rule CV-53(a)(3)(A).

/s/ J. Austin Curry
J. Austin Curry