## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BEDROCK COMPUTER	§	
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	<b>§</b>	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	<b>§</b>	CASE NO. 6:09-cv-269
<b>v.</b>	§	
	§	<b>Jury Trial Demanded</b>
SOFTLAYER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	§	
et al.	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

# PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT MATCH.COM, LLC'S ANSWER TO BEDROCK'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES COUNTERCLAIMS, AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Bedrock Computer Technologies LLC ("Bedrock") files this Reply to Defendant Match.com, LLC's ("Match.com") Answer to Bedrock's First Amended Complaint, Affirmative Defenses, Counterclaims, and Jury Demand, served on November 13, 2009 (Dkt. No. 110). All allegations not expressly admitted are denied. Paragraphs 1-21, as well as Match.com's statement denying that Bedrock is entitled to Bedrock's requested relief, do not require a response.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

- 1. Bedrock incorporates by reference the allegations in its First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 102) in response to each and every of Match.com's Affirmative Defenses.
- 2. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's First Affirmative Defense.

- 3. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Second Affirmative Defense.
- 4. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Third Affirmative Defense.
- 5. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Fourth Affirmative Defense.
- 6. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Fifth Affirmative Defense.
- 7. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Sixth Affirmative Defense.
- 8. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Seventh Affirmative Defense.
- 9. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Eighth Affirmative Defense.
- 10. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Ninth Affirmative Defense.
- 11. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Tenth Affirmative Defense.
- 12. Bedrock denies all allegations contained within Match.com's Eleventh Affirmative Defense.

#### **RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIMS**

13. Bedrock incorporates by reference the allegations in its First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement in response to each and every of Match.com's Counterclaims.

- 14. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaims.
- 15. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Counterclaims.
- 16. Bedrock admits that this Court has jurisdiction as alleged in Paragraph 3 of the Counterclaims but denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief requested.
- 17. Bedrock admits venue for Match.com's counterclaims is proper in this district as alleged in Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaims, but Bedrock denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief request and also denies that the case should be transferred to the Northern District of California.
  - 18. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims.
- 19. Bedrock admits that it asserts Match.com infringes the '120 Patent and an actual case or controversy exists between the parties as alleged in Paragraph 6 of the Counterclaims. Bedrock denies Match.com's allegations of noninfringement and invalidity of the '120 Patent contained in this paragraph.
  - 20. Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims does not require a response.
  - 21. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaims.
- 22. Bedrock admits that Match.com seeks a judicial declaration of noninfringement as described in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims but denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief requested.
  - 23. Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaims does not require a response.
  - 24. Bedrock admits the allegation of Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaims.
- 25. Bedrock admits that Match.com seeks a judicial declaration of invalidity as described in Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaims but denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief requested.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Bedrock incorporates by reference the Prayer for Relief set forth in Bedrock's First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement. Bedrock denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief.

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Bedrock respectfully demands a jury trial of all issues triable to a jury in this action.

DATED: December 2, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

### McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

\_/s/ Douglas A. Cawley\_

Sam F. Baxter

Texas Bar No. 01938000

McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com

104 E. Houston Street, Suite 300

P.O. Box 0

Marshall, Texas 75670

Telephone: (903) 923-9000

Facsimile: (903) 923-9099

Douglas A. Cawley, Lead Attorney

Texas Bar No. 04035500

dcawley@mckoolsmith.com

Theodore Stevenson, III

Texas Bar No. 19196650

tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com

J. Austin Curry

Texas Bar No. 24059636

acurry@mckoolsmith.com

#### McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500

Dallas, Texas 75201

Telephone: 214-978-4000

Facsimile: 214-978-4044

Robert M. Parker

Texas Bar No. 15498000

Robert Christopher Bunt

Texas Bar No. 00787165

## PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.

100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114

Tyler, Texas 75702

Telephone: 903-531-3535

Facsimile: 903-533-9687

Facsilille. 905-355-9067

E-mail: <a href="mailto:rmparker@pbatyler.com">rmparker@pbatyler.com</a>
E-mail: <a href="mailto:rcbunt@pbatyler.com">rcbunt@pbatyler.com</a>

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF BEDROCK COMPUTER TECHNOLOGIES LLC

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service on this, the 2nd day of December, 2009. Local Rule CV-53(a)(3)(A).

/s/ J. Austin Curry
J. Austin Curry