

4. Bedrock denies the allegations contained within Match.com's Third Affirmative Defense.

5. Bedrock denies the allegations contained within Match.com's Fourth Affirmative Defense.

6. Bedrock denies the allegations contained within Match.com's Fifth Affirmative Defense.

7. Bedrock denies the allegations contained within Match.com's Sixth Affirmative Defense.

8. Bedrock denies the allegations contained within Match.com's Seventh Affirmative Defense.

9. Bedrock denies the allegations contained within Match.com's Eighth Affirmative Defense.

10. Bedrock denies the allegations contained within Match.com's Ninth Affirmative Defense.

RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIMS

11. Bedrock incorporates by reference the allegations in its Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (Dkt. No. 191) in response to each and every of Match.com's Counterclaims.

12. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaims.

13. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Counterclaims.

14. Bedrock admits that this Court has jurisdiction as alleged in Paragraph 3 of the Counterclaims but denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief requested.

15. Bedrock admits that venue for Match.com's counterclaims is proper in this district as alleged in Paragraph 4, but Bedrock denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief requested and also denies that the case should be transferred to the Northern District of California.

16. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims.

17. Bedrock admits that it asserts that Match.com infringes the '120 Patent and that an actual case or controversy exists between the parties.

18. Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims does not require a response.

19. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaims.

20. Bedrock admits that Match.com seeks a judicial declaration of noninfringement as described in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims but denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief requested.

21. Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaims does not require a response.

22. Bedrock admits the allegations of Paragraph 11 of the Counterclaims.

23. Bedrock admits that Match.com seeks a judicial declaration of invalidity as described in Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaims but denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief requested.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Bedrock incorporates by reference the Prayer for Relief set forth in Bedrock's Second Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement. Bedrock denies that Match.com is entitled to any relief.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Bedrock respectfully demands a jury trial of all issues triable to a jury in this action.

DATED: May 24, 2010

Respectfully submitted,
McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

/s/ Douglas A. Cawley

Sam F. Baxter
Texas Bar No. 01938000
McKOOL SMITH, P.C.
sbaxter@mckoolsmith.com
104 E. Houston Street, Suite 300
P.O. Box 0
Marshall, Texas 75670
Telephone: (903) 923-9000
Facsimile: (903) 923-9099

Douglas A. Cawley, Lead Attorney
Texas Bar No. 04035500
dcawley@mckoolsmith.com
Theodore Stevenson, III
Texas Bar No. 19196650
tstevenson@mckoolsmith.com
J. Austin Curry
Texas Bar No. 24059636
acurry@mckoolsmith.com
Jonathan R. Yim
Texas Bar No. 24066317
jyim@mckoolsmith.com
McKOOL SMITH, P.C.
300 Crescent Court, Suite 1500
Dallas, Texas 75201
Telephone: 214-978-4000
Facsimile: 214-978-4044

Robert M. Parker
Texas Bar No. 15498000
Robert Christopher Bunt
Texas Bar No. 00787165
PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.
100 E. Ferguson, Suite 1114
Tyler, Texas 75702
Telephone: 903-531-3535
Facsimile: 903-533-9687
E-mail: rm Parker@pbatyler.com
E-mail: rcbunt@pbatyler.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
BEDROCK COMPUTER
TECHNOLOGIES LLC**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service on May 24, 2010. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/s/ Jonathan R. Yim
Jonathan R. Yim