EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

§	
§	
§	
§	
§	CASE NO. 6:09-CV-269-LED
§	
§	
§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
§	
	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM FOR FIRST TRIAL

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge.

1. Did Bedrock prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the following Defendants infringe the following claims of the '120 patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

<u>Defendant</u>	<u>Claims</u>	
Google	Claim 1	
	Claim 2	
	Claim 5	
	Claim 6	

	Match.com	Claim 1			
		Claim 2			
	If you answe	ered "Yes" on any Claim, answer the next question. If not, skip it.			
2.		ndants prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the listed '120 patent are invalid?			
	If you find t	he Claim invalid, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."			
	Claim 1				
	Claim 2				
	Claim 5				
	Claim 6				
		found any claim infringed (whether willfully infringed or not) and valid, stion 3; otherwise, do not answer question 3.			
3.	What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Bedrock for the following Defendants' infringement of the '120 patent through the time of trial?				
	Answer with	the amount of money for each Defendant.			
	Google	\$			
	Match.com	\$			
		Signed this day of April, 2011.			
		JURY FOREPERSON			

2

Dallas 319246v2