EXHIBIT B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BEDROCK COMPUTER	Ş	
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CASE NO. 6:09-CV-269-LED
v.	§	
	§	
GOOGLE INC.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
Defendant.	§	

PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM FOR FIRST TRIAL

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in

the Court's Charge.

1. Did Bedrock prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringed the following claims of the '120 patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

<u>Claims</u>

Claim 2 _____

If you answered "Yes" on any Claim, answer the next question. If not, skip it.

2. Did Google prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the listed claims of the '120 patent are invalid?

If you find the Claim invalid, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."

Claim 1 _____

Claim 2 _____

If you have found any claim infringed and valid, answer question 3; otherwise, do not answer question 3.

3. What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Bedrock for Google's infringement of the '120 patent through the time of trial?

Answer in dollars and cents.

\$_____

Signed this _____ day of April, 2011.

JURY FOREPERSON