EXHIBIT 1

Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2 TYLER DIVISION 3 BEDROCK COMPUTER) 4 TECHNOLOGIES LLC DOCKET NO. 6:09cv269 5) -vs-Tyler, Texas 6 12:40 p.m. GOOGLE, INC. April 14, 2011) 7 8 TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL AFTERNOON SESSION 9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONARD DAVIS, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 10 11 A P P E A R A N C E S 12 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 13 MR. DOUGLAS A. CAWLEY 14 MR. THEODORE STEVENSON, III MR. SCOTT W. HEJNY MR. JASON D. CASSADY 15 McKOOL SMITH 16 300 Crescent Court, Ste. 500 Dallas, Texas 75201 17 18 MR. ROBERT M. PARKER MR. ROBERT CHRISTOPHER BUNT PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH 19 100 E. Ferguson, Ste. 1114 20 Tyler, Texas 75702 21 COURT REPORTERS: 22 MS. JUDY WERLINGER 23 MS. SHEA SLOAN 24 Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was 25 produced by a Computer.

Page 2 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 1 2 MR. CLAUDE M. STERN MS. EVETTE D. PENNYPACKER 3 MR. TODD M. BRIGGS 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065 4 5 6 MR. MICHAEL JONES POTTER MINTON 7 P.O. Box 359 Tyler, Texas 75710 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 PROCEEDINGS 17 (Jury out.) 18 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. 19 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. 20 Let me just announce, I'm going to ask my 21 Law Clerk to provide you with a copy of the Court's 22 Charge so that you can be reviewing it. As soon as we 23 hear -- finish with all of the testimony this afternoon, 24 I will hear any objections to the Court's Charge. This 25 is the only opportunity to object, so that when we come

	Page 110
1	MR. STERN: Finally, Your Honor, we
2	Google wants to renew its motion for judgment as a
<mark>3</mark>	matter of law for non-infringement. There was a claim
4	in the case that that Google uses the system
5	identified in Claims 1 and 2 of the patent. We believe
6	the testimony that came out from Professor Jones
<mark>7</mark>	establishes unequivocally that there is a confusion that
8	the Plaintiff has with respect to what it means to use a
9	claim.
10	Because of inadequate proof in the case
11	regarding the extent to which Google is alleged to have
<mark>12</mark>	actually used any of the systems claims either of Claim
13	1 or Claim 2, we move for judgment as a matter of law.
14	THE COURT: Motion is denied.
15	MR. STERN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Anything further? Either
17	party?
18	MR. HEJNY: Nothing from Bedrock, Your
19	Honor.
20	MR. STERN: I think that's it, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Let me give the parties their
23	final times. The Plaintiff used 11 I mean, the
24	Plaintiff used 11 hours and 27 minutes, and Defendant
25	used 11 hours and 37 minutes. So you gave us back a

,	Page 111
1	little time, which the Court is appreciative of.
2	MR. STERN: Your Honor, do we get that
3	additional time on the closing?
4	THE COURT: No, you don't.
5	All right. We will be adjourned.
6	See you in the morning.
7	COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
8	(Court adjourned.)
9	
10	CERTIFICATION
11	
12	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a
13	true and correct transcript from the stenographic notes
14	of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the
15	best of our abilities.
16	
17	/s/
	SHEA SLOAN, CSR Date
18	Official Court Reporter
	State of Texas No.: 3081
19	Expiration Date: 12/31/12
20	
21	
	/s/
22	JUDITH WERLINGER, CSR Date
	Deputy Official Court Reporter
23	State of Texas No.: 731
	Expiration Date 12/31/12
24	
25	